UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

✓	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
	EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2010
	OR
	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
	EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

001-33289 **Commission File Number**

ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Bermuda

For the Transition Period From

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

<u>N/A</u> (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

P.O. Box HM 2267 Windsor Place, 3rd Floor 18 Queen Street Hamilton HM JX

<u>Bermuda</u> (Address of principal executive office, including zip code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \square No \square

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes

No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Non-accelerated filer \square (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Large accelerated filer \square Accelerated filer \square Smaller reporting company □

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes 🗆 No 🗹

As of August 5, 2010, the registrant had outstanding 13,835,787 ordinary shares, par value \$1.00 per share.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements:	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (Unaudited)	1
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the Three and Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2010	
	and 2009 (Unaudited)	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Month Periods Ended	
	June 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Six Month Periods Ended	
	June 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	
	(Unaudited)	5
	Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	6
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	33
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	34
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	50
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	50
	PART II — OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	51
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	51
Item 6.	Exhibits	52
Signatures		53
EX-15.1		
EX-31.1		
EX-31.2		
EX-32.1		
EX-32.2		

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009

		December 31, 2009 housands of U.S. ept share data)
ASSETS		•
Short-term investments, available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2010 — \$nil; 2009 — \$45,046)	s —	s 45,206
Short-term investments, held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (fair value: 2010 — \$90,008; 2009 — \$159,333)	90,084	159,210
Short-term investments, trading, at fair value (amortized cost: 2010 — \$522,060; 2009 — \$nil)	521,544	
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2010 — \$33,388; 2009 — \$69,976)	33,633	69,892
Fixed maturities, held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (fair value: 2010 — \$1,360,245; 2009 — \$1,169,934)	1,340,764	1,152,330
Fixed maturities, trading, at fair value (amortized cost: 2010 — \$241,587; 2009 — \$85,775)	247,037	88,050
Equities, trading, at fair value (cost: 2010 — \$41,421; 2009 — \$21,257)	41,722	24,503
Other investments, at fair value (cost: 2010 — \$240,351; 2009 — \$165,872)	166,781	81,801
Total investments	2,441,565	1,620,992
Cash and cash equivalents	723,735	1,266,445
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	382,123	433,660
Accrued interest receivable	20,259	16,108
Accounts receivable, net	58,868	17,657
Income taxes recoverable	6,990	3,277
Reinsurance balances receivable	756,081	638,262
Investment in partly owned company		20,850
Goodwill	21,222	21,222
Other assets	193,050	132,369
TOTAL ASSETS	\$4,603,893	\$ 4,170,842
LIABILITIES		
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$2,894,353	\$ 2,479,136
Reinsurance balances payable	189,023	162,576
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	35,609	60,878
Income taxes payable	23,022	51,854
Loans payable	270,919	254,961
Other liabilities	79,974	85,285
TOTAL LIABILITIES	3,492,900	3,094,690
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Share capital		
Authorized issued and fully paid, par value \$1 each (authorized 2010: 156,000,000; 2009: 156,000,000)		
Ordinary shares (issued and outstanding 2010: 13,703,981; 2009: 13,580,793)	13,704	13,581
Non-voting convertible ordinary shares (issued 2010: 2,972,892; 2009: 2,972,892)	2,973	2,973
Treasury shares at cost (non-voting convertible ordinary shares 2010: 2,972,892; 2009: 2,972,892)	(421,559)	(421,559)
Additional paid-in capital	727,323	721,120
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(6,416)	8,709
Retained earnings	505,408	477,057
Total Enstar Group Limited Shareholders' Equity	821,433	801,881
Noncontrolling interest	289,560	274,271
TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	1,110,993	1,076,152
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$4,603,893	\$ 4,170,842

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS For the Three and Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

		Three Mon	ths F	Ended		Six Mont	hs En	ded
		une 30,	J	une 30,	J	une 30,	J	une 30,
		2010	_	2009		2010		2009
	(e	xpressed in	thou				hare	and per
				share	data))		
INCOME								
Consulting fees	\$	3,500	\$	4,179	\$	17,628	\$	7,515
Net investment income		22,998		18,493		49,119		35,802
Net realized (losses) gains	_	(4,227)		5,080		(2,025)	_	(930)
		22,271		27,752		64,722		42,387
EXPENSES								
Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities:								
Reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses		(35,104)		(17,742)		(37,046)		(47,566)
Reduction in provisions for bad debt		(7,768)		_		(13,107)		(9,714)
Reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities		(11,696)		(9,422)		(20,661)		(19,540)
Amortization of fair value adjustments		12,202		9,771		18,852		32,748
		(42,366)		(17,393)		(51,962)		(44,072)
Salaries and benefits		14,254		11,914		29,444		24,331
General and administrative expenses		15,801		10,910		26,288		23,292
Interest expense		2,805		4,675		5,199		9,640
Net foreign exchange (gain) loss		(5,615)		(1,611)		1,973		(13)
		(15,121)		8,495		10,942		13,178
EARNINGS BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND SHARE OF NET EARNINGS OF PARTLY								
OWNED COMPANY		37,392		19,257		53,780		29,209
INCOME TAXES		(16,115)		23		(22,037)		641
SHARE OF NET EARNINGS OF PARTLY OWNED COMPANY		2,203		_		9,353		269
NET EARNINGS		23,480		19,280		41,096		30,119
Less: Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests		(11,050)		(10,529)		(12,745)		(9,837)
NET EARNINGS ATTRIBUTABLE TO ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED	\$	12,430	\$	8,751	\$	28,351	\$	20,282
EARNINGS PER SHARE — BASIC:			_				_	
Net earnings attributable to Enstar Group Limited ordinary shareholders	\$	0.91	\$	0.65	\$	2.08	\$	1.51
EARNINGS PER SHARE — DILUTED:					-			
Net earnings attributable to Enstar Group Limited ordinary shareholders	\$	0.89	\$	0.63	\$	2.04	\$	1.48
Weighted average shares outstanding — basic	13	3,702,832	1	3,532,608	13	3,661,516	1	3,448,525
Weighted average shares outstanding — diluted	14	1,019,489	1	3,787,553	13	3,925,551	1.	3,700,853

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME For the Three and Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

	Three Mor	ths Ended	Six Mont	hs Ended
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
	(exp	oressed in thousa	nds of U.S. dolla	ırs)
NET EARNINGS	\$ 23,480	\$ 19,280	\$ 41,096	\$ 30,119
Other comprehensive income:				
Unrealized holding losses on investments arising during the period	(6,412)	(7,024)	(5,652)	(14,873)
Reclassification adjustment for net realized losses (gains) included in net				
earnings	4,227	(5,080)	2,025	930
Currency translation adjustment	(22,688)	41,207	(17,116)	37,225
Total other comprehensive (loss) income:	(24,873)	29,103	(20,743)	23,282
Comprehensive (loss) income	(1,393)	48,383	20,353	53,401
Less comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(3,965)	(18,674)	(7,125)	(20,668)
COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO ENSTAR GROUP				
LIMITED	\$ (5,358)	\$ 29,709	\$ 13,228	\$ 32,733

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY For the Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

	2010	2009
	(expressed in U.S. do	
Share Capital — Ordinary Shares		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 13,581	\$ 13,334
Issue of shares	44	167
Share awards granted/vested	79	77
Balance, end of period	\$ 13,704	\$ 13,578
Share Capital — Non-Voting Convertible Ordinary Shares		
Balance, beginning and end of period	\$ 2,973	\$ 2,973
Treasury Shares		
Balance, beginning and end of period	\$(421,559)	\$(421,559)
Additional Paid-in Capital		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 721,120	\$ 709,485
Share awards granted/vested	5,286	3,567
Issue of shares	318	5,195
Amortization of share awards	599	_
Balance, end of period	\$ 727,323	\$ 718,247
Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income Attributable to Enstar Group Limited		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 8,709	\$ (30,871)
Cumulative translation adjustments	(12,103)	26,434
Net movement in unrealized holding gains on investments	(3,022)	(13,983)
Balance, end of period	\$ (6,416)	\$ (18,420)
Retained Earnings		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 477,057	\$ 341,847
Net earnings attributable to Enstar Group Limited	28,351	20,282
Balance, end of period	\$ 505,408	\$ 362,129
Noncontrolling Interest		
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 274,271	\$ 256,022
Return of capital	(13,579)	(18,783)
Contribution of capital	28,742	
Dividends paid	(7,000)	(979)
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest	12,745	9,837
Cumulative translation adjustments	(5,013)	10,791
Net movement in unrealized holding (losses) gains on investments	(606)	40
Balance, end of period	\$ 289,560	\$ 256,928

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

	(e:	2010 xpressed in th		2009 ds of U.S
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		doll	lars)	
	s	41.096	e	30.11
Net earnings Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to cash flows (used in) provided by operating activities:	2	41,096	\$	30,11
Share of undistributed net earnings of partly owned company		(9,353)		(26
Net realized and unrealized investment loss		2,025		93
Share of net (gain) loss from other investments		(9,410)		1,45
Other items		(1,155)		4,38
Depreciation and amortization		374		4,30
Amortization of bond premiums and discounts		2,507		4.78
Net movement of trading securities held on behalf of policyholders		23,306		14,1:
Sales of trading securities		64,695		1 1,11
Purchases of trading securities		(755,925)		
Changes in assets and liabilities:		(133,723)		
Reinsurance balances receivable		(68,415)		(18,64
Other assets		(104,969)		5,5
Losses and loss adjustment expenses		166,148		(64,5
Reinsurance balances payable		11,284		24,13
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(24,558)		5,13
Other liabilities		(33,293)		21,4
Net cash flows (used in) provided by operating activities		(695,643)	_	29.1
NVESTING ACTIVITIES:		(0,0,0,0,0)		27,1
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired		157,184		8,5
Purchase of available-for-sale securities		157,104	((237,8
Sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities		54,872		247,9
Purchase of held-to-maturity securities		(608,680)		(233.0)
Maturity of held-to-maturity securities		461.810	,	47.5
Movement in restricted cash and cash equivalents		87,052	- ((120,3
Funding of other investments		(66,245)		(23,3
Sale of investment in partly owned company		29,400		(== ,= ,=
Other investing activities		278		(1,7
Net cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities	_	115,671	_	(312,2
		113,071		(312,2
PANCING ACTIVITIES:				2.7
Proceeds from exercise of stock options		(12.570)		2,7
Distribution of capital to noncontrolling interest		(13,579)		(18,7
Contribution to surplus of subsidiary by noncontrolling interest Dividends paid to noncontrolling interest		28,742		(9
Receipt of loans		(7,000) 21,400		(9
Repayment of loans		21,400		(57,5
1 7				
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	_	29,563	_	(74,5)
TRANSLATION ADJUSTMENT	_	7,699	_	39,24
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		(542,710)	-	(318,3
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	1	,266,445	1	,866,54
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$	723,735	\$1	,548,10
	_		-	
Supplemental Cash Flow Information		44.006		0.7
ncome taxes paid	\$	41,089	\$	8,2
Interest paid	\$	5,738	\$	6,89

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009

(Tabular information expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars except share and per share data)
(unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND CONSOLIDATION

The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements have not been audited. These statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, these financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position and results of operations as at the end of and for the periods presented. Results of operations for subsidiaries acquired are included from the dates of their acquisition by the Company. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results for a full year. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated. In these notes, the terms "we," "us," "our," or "the Company" refer to Enstar Group Limited and its direct and indirect subsidiaries. The following information should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards

In January 2010, the Company adopted the revised guidance issued by the U.S. Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") for the consolidation of variable interest entities. The revised guidance requires an entity to perform an analysis to determine whether the entity's variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. It prescribes the determination of whether a reporting entity is required to consolidate another entity based on, among other things, the other entity's purpose and design and the reporting entity's ability to direct the activities of the other entity that most significantly impact the other entity's economic performance. The adoption of the revised guidance did not have any impact on the consolidated financial statements.

The Company adopted the revised guidance issued by FASB for the accounting for transfers of financial assets in January 2010. The revised guidance eliminates the concept of a "qualifying special-purpose entity"; changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets; and enhances information reported to financial statement users by increasing the transparency of disclosures about transfers of financial assets and an entity's continuing involvement with transferred financial assets. The adoption of the revised guidance did not have any impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Also in January 2010, the Company adopted the revised guidance issued by FASB for the disclosures about fair value measurements. The revised guidance requires additional disclosures about transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements. The revised guidance also clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The revised guidance is effective for the first reporting period (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the requirement to provide the Level 3 activity of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross basis, which will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of the revised guidance did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

On February 24, 2010, FASB amended its guidance on subsequent events to no longer require companies filing periodic reports with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in originally issued and revised financial statements in order to alleviate potential conflicts between FASB's guidance and the SEC's filing requirements. This guidance was effective immediately upon issuance. The adoption of this guidance had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial condition. While the Company's consolidated financial statements no longer disclose the date through

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

1. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND CONSOLIDATION — (cont'd)

which it has evaluated subsequent events, the Company continues to be required to evaluate subsequent events through the date when its financial statements are issued.

The Company has determined that all other recently issued accounting pronouncements will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements, or do not apply to its operations.

2. ACQUISITIONS

The Company accounts for acquisitions using the purchase method of accounting, which requires that the acquirer record the assets and liabilities acquired at their estimated fair value. The fair values of reinsurance assets and liabilities acquired are derived from probability weighted ranges of the associated projected cash flows, based on actuarially prepared information and management's run-off strategy. Any amendment to the fair values resulting from changes in such information or strategy will be recognized when the changes occur.

Knapton Insurance (formerly British Engine)

On March 2, 2010, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Knapton Holdings Limited ("Knapton Holdings"), completed the acquisition of Knapton Insurance Limited, formerly British Engine Insurance Limited ("Knapton"), from RSA Insurance Group plc for a total purchase price of approximately £28.8 million (approximately \$44.0 million). Knapton is a U.K.-domiciled reinsurer that is in run-off. The acquisition was funded from available cash on hand.

The purchase price and fair value of the assets acquired in the Knapton acquisition were as follows:

Total purchase price	\$44,031
Net assets acquired at fair value	\$44,031

The following summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the date of the acquisition:

Cash	\$ 153,286
Restricted cash	35,515
Investments:	
Short-term investments, trading	5,990
Fixed maturity investments, trading	27,923
Total investments	33,913
Reinsurance balances receivable	50,942
Other assets	5,840
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	(216,871)
Insurance and reinsurance balances payable	(12,347)
Accounts payable	(6,247)
Net assets acquired at fair value	<u>\$ 44,031</u>

From March 2, 2010, the date of acquisition, to June 30, 2010, the Company has recorded in its consolidated statement of earnings, revenues and net losses related to Knapton of \$0.2 million and \$(2.8) million, respectively.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

2. ACQUISITIONS — (cont'd)

In April 2010, Knapton Holdings entered into a term facility agreement with a London-based bank (the "Knapton Facility"). On April 20, 2010, Knapton Holdings drew down \$21.4 million from the Knapton Facility.

Assuransinvest

On March 30, 2010, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Nordic Run-Off Limited, completed the acquisition of Forsakringsaktiebolaget Assuransinvest MF ("Assuransinvest") for a purchase price of SEK 78.8 million (approximately \$11.0 million). Assuransinvest is a Swedish-domiciled reinsurer that is in run-off. The purchase price was funded from available cash on hand.

The purchase price and fair value of the assets acquired in the Assuransinvest acquisition were as follows:

Total purchase price	\$11,042
Net assets acquired at fair value	\$11,042

The following summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the date of the acquisition:

Cash	\$ 58,971
Fixed maturity investments, trading	579
Other assets	5
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	(45,021)
Insurance and reinsurance balances payable	(3,130)
Accounts payable	(362)
Net assets acquired at fair value	<u>\$ 11,042</u>

From March 30, 2010, the date of acquisition, to June 30, 2010, the Company has recorded in its consolidated statement of earnings, revenues and net losses related to Assuransinvest of \$0.1 million and \$(1.2) million, respectively.

Providence Washington

On July 20, 2010, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, PWAC Holdings, Inc, completed the acquisition of PW Acquisition Company ("PWAC") for a purchase price of \$25.0 million. PWAC owns the entire share capital of Providence Washington Insurance Company. Providence Washington Insurance Company and its two subsidiaries are Rhode Island-domiciled insurers that are in run-off. The purchase price was financed by a term facility provided by a London-based bank (the "Enstar Facility"). Since the accounting for the business combination has not been completed at the time of issuance of these financial statements, the disclosure required for business combinations will be made in a subsequent filing.

3. SIGNIFICANT NEW BUSINESS

Shelbourne RITC Transactions

In December 2007, Enstar, in conjunction with JCF FPK I L.P. ("JCF FPK") and a newly-hired executive management team, formed U.K.-based Shelbourne Group Limited ("Shelbourne") to invest in Reinsurance to Close or "RITC" transactions (the transferring of liabilities from one Lloyd's syndicate to another) with Lloyd's of London insurance and reinsurance syndicates in run-off. The Company owns approximately 56.8% of Shelbourne, which in turn owns 100% of Shelbourne Syndicate Services Limited, the Managing Agency for Lloyd's Syndicate

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

3. SIGNIFICANT NEW BUSINESS — (cont'd)

2008, a syndicate approved by Lloyd's of London on December 16, 2007 to undertake RITC transactions with Lloyd's syndicates in runoff.

In February 2010, Lloyd's Syndicate 2008 entered into RITC agreements with two Lloyd's syndicates with total gross insurance reserves of approximately \$170.3 million. The capital commitment to Lloyd's Syndicate 2008 with respect to these two RITC agreements amounted to £25.0 million (approximately \$37.5 million), which was fully financed by Enstar from available cash on hand.

JCF FPK is a joint investment program between Fox-Pitt, Kelton, Cochran, Caronia & Waller (USA) LLC ("FPK") and J.C. Flowers II L.P. (the "Flowers Fund"). The Flowers Fund is a private investment fund advised by J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC. J. Christopher Flowers, a member of the Company's board of directors and one of the Company's largest shareholders, is the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC. John J. Oros, the Company's Executive Chairman and a member of the Company's board of directors, is a Managing Director of J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC. In addition, an affiliate of the Flowers Fund controlled approximately 41% of FPK until its sale of FPK in December 2009.

Fitzwilliam

In February 2010, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Fitzwilliam Insurance Limited ("Fitzwilliam") entered into a 100% quota share reinsurance agreement with Allianz Global Corporate & Specialty AG (UK) Branch ("Allianz") with respect to a specific portfolio of run-off business of Allianz whereby Fitzwilliam received total assets and assumed total gross reinsurance reserves of approximately \$112.6 million.

In July 2010, following the acquisition of the entire issued share capital of Glacier Insurance AG by Torus Insurance (Bermuda) Limited ("Torus"), Fitzwilliam entered into two quota share reinsurance agreements with Torus protecting the prior year reserve development of two portfolios of business reinsured by them: a 79% quota share of Torus' 95% quota share reinsurance of Glacier Insurance AG, and a 75% quota share of Torus' 100% quota share reinsurance of Glacier Reinsurance AG. Fitzwilliam received total assets and assumed total gross reinsurance reserves of approximately \$105.0 million.

Bosworth

In May 2010, a specific portfolio of run-off business underwritten by Mitsui Sumitomo Insurance Co., Ltd. of Japan ("Mitsui") was transferred to our 50.1% owned subsidiary, Bosworth Run-off Limited ("Bosworth"). This transfer, which occurred under Part VII of the UK Financial Services and Markets Act 2000, was approved by the UK Court and took effect on May 31, 2010. As a result of the transfer, Bosworth received total assets and assumed net reinsurance reserves of approximately \$117.5 million. Shinsei Bank, Ltd owns the remaining 49.9% of Bosworth. J. Christopher Flowers, a member of the Company's board of directors and one of its largest shareholders, is a director and the largest shareholder of Shinsei Bank, Ltd.

4. RESTRICTED CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Restricted cash and cash equivalents were \$382.1 million and \$433.7 million as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The restricted cash and cash equivalents are used as collateral against letters of credit and as guarantee under trust agreements. Letters of credit are issued to ceding insurers as security for the obligations of insurance subsidiaries under reinsurance agreements with those ceding insurers.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

${\bf 4.} \quad {\bf RESTRICTED\ CASH\ AND\ CASH\ EQUIVALENTS-(Continued)}$

5. INVESTMENTS

Available-for-sale

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of the Company's fixed maturity securities classified as available-for-sale are as follows:

As at June 30, 2010	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gain	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses Non-OTTI	Fair Value
U.S. government and agency	\$ 3,160	\$ 314	s —	\$ 3,474
Non-U.S. government	10,939	41	(3)	10,977
Corporate	17,750	261	(324)	17,687
Residential mortgage-backed	1,539	66	(110)	1,495
	\$ 33,388	\$ 682	\$ (437)	\$33,633
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gain	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses Non-OTTI	Fair Value
As at December 31, 2009	Cost	Unrealized Holding Gain	Unrealized Holding Losses Non-OTTI	Value
U.S. government and agency	Cost \$ 14,079	Unrealized Holding Gain	Unrealized Holding Losses Non-OTTI	Value \$ 14,306
U.S. government and agency Non-U.S. government	* 14,079 37,166	Unrealized Holding Gain \$ 227 33	Unrealized Holding Losses Non-OTTI \$	\$ 14,306 37,186
U.S. government and agency Non-U.S. government Corporate	\$ 14,079 37,166 62,092	Unrealized Holding Gain \$ 227 33 825	Unrealized Holding Losses Non-OTTI \$	\$ 14,306 37,186 62,050
U.S. government and agency Non-U.S. government	* 14,079 37,166	Unrealized Holding Gain \$ 227 33	Unrealized Holding Losses Non-OTTI \$	\$ 14,306 37,186

The following tables summarize the Company's fixed maturity securities classified as available-for-sale in an unrealized loss position as well as the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time the security has continuously been in an unrealized loss position:

	12 Month	s or Greater	Less Tl	han 12 Months	Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
As at June 30, 2010						
Non-U.S. government	\$ —	\$ —	\$741	\$ (3)	\$ 741	\$ (3)
Corporate	8,437	(324)	_		8,437	(324)
Residential mortgage-backed	389	(110)			389	(110)
	\$8,826	\$ (434)	\$741	\$ (3)	\$9,567	\$ (437)

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

5. INVESTMENTS — (cont'd)

	12 Month	12 Months or Greater		Less Than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	
As at December 31, 2009							
Non-U.S. government	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 782	\$ (13)	\$ 782	\$ (13)	
Corporate	10,894	(786)	5,348	(81)	16,242	(867)	
Residential mortgage-backed	369	(160)			369	(160)	
	\$11,263	\$ (946)	\$6,130	\$ (94)	\$17,393	\$ (1,040)	

As at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the number of securities classified as available-for-sale in an unrealized loss position was 14 and 20, respectively, with a fair value of \$9.6 million and \$17.4 million, respectively. Of these securities, the number of securities that had been in an unrealized loss position for twelve months or longer was 12 and 11, respectively. As at June 30, 2010, none of these securities were considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

The contractual maturities of the Company's fixed maturities classified as available-for-sale are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	% of Total Fair Value
As at June 30, 2010			
Due in one year or less	\$ 3,965	\$ 4,016	11.9%
Due after one year through five years	23,603	23,710	70.6%
Due after five years through ten years	4,181	4,300	12.8%
Due after ten years	100	112	0.3%
	31,849	32,138	95.6%
Residential mortgage-backed	1,539	1,495	4.4%
	\$ 33,388	\$33,633	100.0%
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	% of Total Fair Value
As at December 31, 2009			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
As at December 31, 2009 Due in one year or less			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Cost	Value	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	Cost \$ 64,202	\$ 64,606	Fair Value 56.1%
Due in one year or less Due after one year through five years	Cost \$ 64,202 39,951	\$ 64,606 40,305	56.1% 35.0%
Due in one year or less Due after one year through five years Due after five years through ten years	Cost \$ 64,202 39,951 5,811	\$ 64,606 40,305 5,783	56.1% 35.0% 5.0% 2.5%
Due in one year or less Due after one year through five years Due after five years through ten years	Cost \$ 64,202 39,951 5,811 3,373	\$ 64,606 40,305 5,783 2,848	56.1% 35.0% 5.0%

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

5. INVESTMENTS — (cont'd)

The following tables set forth certain information regarding the credit ratings (provided by major rating agencies) of the Company's fixed maturity securities classified as available-for-sale:

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	% of Total Fair Value
As at June 30, 2010			
AAA	\$ 16,051	\$16,358	48.6%
AA	744	741	2.2%
A	7,206	7,461	22.2%
BBB or lower	8,389	8,073	24.0%
Not Rated	998	1,000	3.0%
	\$ 33,388	\$33,633	100.0%
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	% of Total Fair Value
As at December 31, 2009			
AAA	\$ 54,157	\$ 54,229	47.1%
A	32,764	32,886	28.6%
BBB or lower	13,848	13,596	11.8%
Not Rated	14,253	14,387	
	17,233	14,367	12.5%

Held-to-maturity

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of the Company's fixed maturity securities classified as held-to-maturity are as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gain	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses Non-OTTI	Fair Value
As at June 30, 2010				
U.S. government and agency	\$ 129,034	\$ 2,224	\$ (29)	\$ 131,229
Non-U.S. government	288,407	3,810	(536)	291,681
Corporate	922,210	16,101	(1,271)	937,040
Municipal	_	_	_	_
Residential mortgage-backed	34,260	295	(204)	34,351
Commercial mortgage-backed	27,253	806	(2,046)	26,013
Asset backed	29,684	861	(606)	29,939
	\$1,430,848	\$ 24,097	\$ (4,692)	\$1,450,253

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

5. INVESTMENTS — (cont'd)

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gain	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses Non-OTTI	Fair Value
As at December 31, 2009				
U.S. government and agency	\$ 164,706	\$ 1,659	\$ (196)	\$ 166,169
Non-U.S. government	276,506	3,069	(131)	279,444
Corporate	780,099	15,794	(1,284)	794,609
Municipal	9,649	6	(1)	9,654
Residential mortgage-backed	15,894	165	(427)	15,632
Commercial mortgage-backed	30,608	1,130	(1,970)	29,768
Asset backed	34,078	477	(564)	33,991
	\$1,311,540	\$ 22,300	\$ (4,573)	\$1,329,267

The following tables summarize the Company's fixed maturity securities classified as held-to-maturity in an unrealized loss position and the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by length of time the security has continuously been in an unrealized loss position:

	12 Months or Greater		Less Than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
As at June 30, 2010						
U.S. government and agency	\$ —	s —	\$ 18,704	\$ (29)	\$ 18,704	\$ (29)
Non-U.S. government	1,998	(5)	32,691	(531)	34,689	(536)
Corporate	8,969	(141)	272,429	(1,130)	281,398	(1,271)
Residential mortgage-backed	2,129	(136)	13,944	(68)	16,073	(204)
Commercial mortgage-backed	_	_	12,618	(2,046)	12,618	(2,046)
Asset backed	795	(69)	9,966	(537)	10,761	(606)
	\$13,891	\$ (351)	\$360,352	\$ (4,341)	\$374,243	\$ (4,692)

	12 Months or Greater		Less Than 12 Months		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
As at December 31, 2009						
U.S. government and agency	\$ —	s —	\$ 53,674	\$ (196)	\$ 53,674	\$ (196)
Non-U.S. government	_	_	44,477	(131)	44,477	(131)
Corporate	3,892	(249)	153,220	(1,034)	157,112	(1,283)
Municipal	_		8,641	(1)	8,641	(1)
Residential mortgage-backed	2,109	(277)	6,494	(151)	8,603	(428)
Commercial mortgage-backed	_	_	11,931	(1,970)	11,931	(1,970)
Asset backed	889	(86)	21,817	(478)	22,706	(564)
	\$6,890	\$ (612)	\$300,254	\$ (3,961)	\$307,144	\$ (4,573)

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

5. INVESTMENTS — (cont'd)

As at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the number of fixed maturity securities classified as held-to-maturity in an unrealized loss position was 212 and 135, respectively, with a fair value of \$374 million and \$307.1 million, respectively. Of these securities, the number of securities that had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or longer was 24 and 19, respectively. As of June 30, 2010, none of these securities were considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired. The Company has no intent to sell and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell these securities before their anticipated recovery. The unrealized losses from these securities were not a result of credit, collateral or structural issues.

The contractual maturities of the Company's fixed maturity securities classified as held-to-maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	% of Total Fair Value
As at June 30, 2010			
Due in one year or less	\$ 511,922	\$ 515,011	35.5%
Due after one year through five years	809,063	824,776	56.9%
Due after five years through ten years	13,893	15,436	1.1%
Due after ten years	4,773	4,727	0.3%
	1,339,651	1,359,950	93.8%
Residential mortgage-backed	34,260	34,351	2.4%
Commercial mortgage-backed	27,253	26,013	1.8%
Asset backed	29,684	29,939	2.0%
	\$1,430,848	1,450,253	100.0%
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	% of Total Fair Value
As at December 31, 2009			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
As at December 31, 2009 Due in one year or less			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Cost	Value	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	Cost \$ 569,133	\$ 572,881	Fair Value 43.1%
Due in one year or less Due after one year through five years	Cost \$ 569,133 607,499	\$ 572,881 621,344	Fair Value 43.1% 46.7%
Due in one year or less Due after one year through five years Due after five years through ten years	\$ 569,133 607,499 51,660	\$ 572,881 621,344 53,228	43.1% 46.7% 4.0%
Due in one year or less Due after one year through five years Due after five years through ten years	Cost \$ 569,133 607,499 51,660 2,668	\$ 572,881 621,344 53,228 2,423	43.1% 46.7% 4.0% 0.2%
Due in one year or less Due after one year through five years Due after five years through ten years Due after ten years	Cost \$ 569,133 607,499 51,660 2,668 1,230,960	\$ 572,881 621,344 53,228 2,423 1,249,876	43.1% 46.7% 4.0% 0.2% 94.0%
Due in one year or less Due after one year through five years Due after five years through ten years Due after ten years Residential mortgage-backed	\$ 569,133 607,499 51,660 2,668 1,230,960 15,894	\$ 572,881 621,344 53,228 2,423 1,249,876 15,632	43.1% 46.7% 4.0% 0.2% 94.0% 1.2%

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

5. INVESTMENTS — (cont'd)

The following tables set forth certain information regarding the credit ratings (provided by major rating agencies) of the Company's fixed maturity securities classified as held-to-maturity:

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	% of Total Fair Value
As at June 30, 2010			
AAA	\$ 571,932	\$ 579,812	40.0%
AA	319,749	324,443	22.4%
A	441,604	447,073	30.8%
BBB or lower	96,025	97,387	6.7%
Not Rated	1,538	1,538	0.1%
	\$1,430,848	\$1,450,253	100.0%
	Amortized	Fair	% of Total
	Cost	Value	Fair Value
As at December 31, 2009	Cost		
As at December 31, 2009 AAA	<u>Cost</u> \$ 598,949		
		Value	Fair Value
AAA	\$ 598,949	Value \$ 603,017	Fair Value 45.4%
AAA AA	\$ 598,949 271,954	\$ 603,017 276,507	45.4% 20.8%
AAA AA A	\$ 598,949 271,954 367,750	\$ 603,017 276,507 375,416	45.4% 20.8% 28.2%

Trading

The estimated fair value of the Company's investments in fixed maturity securities, short-term investments and equities classified as trading was as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gain	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Fair Value
As at June 30, 2010				
U.S. government and agency	\$ 69,209	\$ 3,541	\$ (10)	\$ 72,740
Non-U.S. government	110,031	24	(132)	109,923
Corporate	577,535	2,339	(628)	579,246
Residential mortgage-backed	5,821	50	(14)	5,857
Commercial mortgage-backed	1,051	_	(236)	815
Equities	41,421	3,199	(2,898)	41,722
	\$805,068	\$ 9,153	\$ (3,918)	\$810,303

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

5. INVESTMENTS — (cont'd)

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gain	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Fair Value
As at December 31, 2009				
U.S. government and agency	\$ 60,355	\$ 1,696	\$ (131)	\$ 61,920
Corporate	23,894	1,139	_	25,033
Residential mortgage-backed	474	4	(22)	456
Commercial mortgage-backed	1,051	_	(410)	641
Equities	21,258	3,854	(609)	24,503
	\$107,032	\$ 6,693	\$ (1,172)	\$112,553

Other Investments

	2010	2009
Private equity	\$ 83,512	77,359
Short-duration high yield bond fund	50,004	_
Hedge funds	20,000	_
Other	13,265	4,442
	\$166,781	\$ 81,801

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had \$83.5 million and \$77.4 million, respectively, of private equity investments recorded in limited partnerships and limited liability companies. These private equity investments represented 2.4% and 2.3% of total investments and cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. All of the Company's investments in limited partnerships and limited liability companies are subject to restrictions on redemptions and sales that are determined by the governing documents and limit the Company's ability to liquidate these investments in the short term. Due to a lag in the valuations reported by the managers, the Company records changes in the investment value with up to a three-month lag. These investments are accounted for at estimated fair value determined by the Company's proportionate share of the net asset value of the investee reduced by any impairment charges. As at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had unfunded capital commitments relating to its private equity investments of \$100.5 million and \$101.1 million, respectively.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Process

Upon the adoption of the new guidance on investments in debt and equity securities, effective April 1, 2009, the Company changed its quarterly process for assessing whether declines in the fair value of its fixed maturity investments, both available-for-sale and held-to-maturity, represented impairments that are other-than-temporary. The process now includes reviewing each fixed maturity investment that is impaired and determining: (1) if the Company has the intent to sell the fixed maturity investment or (2) if it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the fixed maturity investment before its anticipated recovery; and (3) assessing whether a credit loss exists, that is, where the Company expects that the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed maturity investment are less than the amortized cost basis of the investment.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

5. INVESTMENTS — (cont'd)

The Company had no planned sales of its fixed maturity investments classified as available-for-sale or held-to-maturity in an unrealized loss position as at June 30, 2010. In assessing whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell a fixed maturity investment before its anticipated recovery, the Company considers various factors including its future cash flow requirements, legal and regulatory requirements, the level of its cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and fixed maturity investments available for sale in an unrealized gain position, and other relevant factors. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company did not recognize any other-than-temporary impairments due to required sales.

In evaluating credit losses, the Company considers a variety of factors in the assessment of a fixed maturity investment including: (1) the time period during which there has been a significant decline below cost; (2) the extent of the decline below cost and par; (3) the potential for the fixed maturity investment to recover in value; (4) an analysis of the financial condition of the issuer; (5) the rating of the issuer, and (6) failure of the issuer of the fixed maturity investment to make scheduled interest or principal payments.

Based on the factors described above, the Company determined that, as at June 30, 2010, no credit losses existed.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is defined as the price to sell an asset or transfer a liability (i.e. the "exit price") in an orderly transaction between market participants. The Company uses a fair value hierarchy that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels as follows:

- Level 1 Valuations based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company
 has the ability to access. Valuation adjustments and block discounts are not applied to Level 1 instruments.
- Level 2 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical assets
 or liabilities in inactive markets, or for which significant inputs are observable (e.g. interest rates, yield curves, prepayment
 speeds, default rates, loss severities, etc.) or can be corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. The
 unobservable inputs reflect the Company's own judgment about assumptions that market participants might use.

The following is a summary of valuation techniques or models the Company uses to measure fair value by asset and liability classes.

Fixed Maturity Investments

The Company's fixed maturity portfolio is managed by its outside investment advisors. Through these third parties, the Company uses nationally recognized pricing services, including pricing vendors, index providers and broker-dealers to estimate fair value measurements for all of its fixed maturity investments. These pricing services include Barclays Capital Aggregate Index (formerly Lehman Index), Reuters Pricing Service, FT Interactive Data and others.

The pricing services use market quotations for securities (e.g., public common and preferred securities) that have quoted prices in active markets. When quoted market prices are unavailable, the pricing service prepares estimates of fair value measurements for these securities using its proprietary pricing applications, which include

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

5. INVESTMENTS — (cont'd)

available relevant market information, benchmark curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings, and matrix pricing.

With the exception of two securities within the Company's trading portfolio, the fair value estimates of its fixed maturity investments are based on observable market data. The Company has therefore included these as Level 2 investments within the fair value hierarchy. The two securities in its trading portfolio that do not have observable inputs have been included as Level 3 investments within the fair value hierarchy.

To validate the techniques or models used by the pricing services, the Company compares the fair value estimates to its knowledge of the current market and challenges any prices deemed not to be representative of fair value.

As of June 30, 2010 there were no material differences between the prices obtained from the pricing services and the fair value estimates developed by the Company.

Equity Securities

The Company's equity securities are managed by two external advisors. Through these third parties, the Company uses nationally recognized pricing services, including pricing vendors, index providers and broker-dealers to estimate fair value measurements for all of its equity securities. These pricing services include FT Interactive Data and others.

The Company has categorized all but one of its equity securities as Level 1 investments because these securities are based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. The one equity security that was not categorized as Level 1 was instead categorized as Level 3 because, due to the nature of the investment, management had to make assumptions regarding its valuation.

Other Investments

For its investments in hedge funds, limited partnerships and limited liability companies, the Company measures fair value by obtaining the most recently published net asset value as advised by the external fund manager or third-party administrator. The financial statements of each fund generally are audited annually, using fair value measurement for the underlying investments. For all publicly traded companies within the funds, the Company has valued those investments based on the latest share price. The value of Affirmative Investment LLC (in which the Company owns a non-voting 7% membership interest) is based on the market value of the shares of Affirmative Insurance Holdings, Inc., a publicly traded company.

All of the Company's investments in limited partnerships and limited liability companies are subject to restrictions on redemptions and sales that are determined by the governing documents and limit the Company's ability to liquidate those investments in the short term.

The Company has classified its hedge funds, limited partnerships and limited liability companies as Level 3 investments because they reflect the Company's own judgment about the assumptions that market participants might use.

The short duration high yield fund is classified within Level 2 as its fair value is estimated using the net asset value reported by Bloomberg and it has daily liquidity.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

5. INVESTMENTS — (cont'd)

Fair Value Measurements

In accordance with the provisions of the Fair Value measurement and Disclosure topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, the Company has categorized its investments that are recorded at fair value among levels as follows:

	June 30, 2010							
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets Significant Other for Identical Assets Observable Inputs (Level 1) (Level 2)		Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		Т	otal Fair Value		
U.S. government and agency	\$	_	\$	76,214	\$	_	\$	76,214
Non-U.S. government		_		120,900		_		120,900
Corporate		_		596,354		579		596,933
Residential mortgage-backed		_		7,352		_		7,352
Commercial mortgage-backed		_		_		815		815
Equities		38,484		_		3,238		41,722
Other investments				62,702		104,079		166,781
Total investments	\$	38,484	\$	863,522	\$	108,711	\$1	,010,717

	December 31, 2009						
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets Significant Other for identical Assets Observable Inputs (Level 1) (Level 2)		Unob In	nificant servable uputs evel 3)	Total Fair Value		
U.S. government and agency	\$	_	\$	76,226	\$	_	\$ 76,226
Non-U.S. government		_		37,186		_	37,186
Corporate		_		87,083		_	87,083
Residential mortgage-backed		_		2,012		_	2,012
Commercial mortgage-backed		_		_		641	641
Equities		21,203		_		3,300	24,503
Other investments						81,801	81,801
Total investments	\$	21,203	\$	202,507	\$	85,742	\$309,452

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for all investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs during the three months ended June 30, 2010.

	M	Fixed laturity estments	In	Other vestments	Equity Securities	Total
Level 3 investments as of April 1, 2010	\$	1,336	\$	91,294	\$ 3,450	\$ 96,080
Net purchases (sales and distributions)		_		13,197	_	13,197
Total realized and unrealized losses (gains)		58		(412)	(212)	(566)
Net transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3						
Level 3 investments as of June 30, 2010	\$	1,394	\$	104,079	\$ 3,238	\$108,711

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

5. INVESTMENTS — (cont'd)

The amount of net gains/(losses) for the three months ended June 30, 2010 included in earnings attributable to the fair value of changes in assets still held at June 30, 2010 was \$1.5 million. Of this amount \$(0.2) million was included in net realized gains/(losses) and \$1.7 million was included in net investment income.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for all investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs during the three months ended June 30,2009:

	F	ixed				
		turity stments		Other vestments	Equity Securities	Total
Level 3 investments as of April 1, 2009	\$	284	\$	69,566	\$ 2,091	\$71,941
Net purchases (sales and distributions)		_		(212)	_	(212)
Total realized and unrealized (gains) losses		(21)		1,685	1,109	2,773
Net transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3			_			
Level 3 investments as of June 30, 2009	\$	263	\$	71,039	\$ 3,200	\$74,502

The amount of net gains/(losses) for the three months ended June 30, 2009 included in earnings attributable to the fair value of changes in assets still held at June 30, 2009 was \$1.8 million. Of this amount \$1.1 million was included in net realized gains/(losses) and \$0.7 million was included in net investment income.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for all investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs during the six months ended June 30, 2010:

	Ma	Fixed aturity estments	Other Investments	Equity Securities	Total
Level 3 investments as of January 1, 2010	\$	641	\$ 81,801	\$ 3,300	\$ 85,742
Net purchases (sales and distributions)		579	16,246	_	16,825
Total realized and unrealized losses (gains)		174	6,032	(62)	6,144
Net transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3					
Level 3 investments as of June 30, 2010	\$	1,394	\$ 104,079	\$ 3,238	\$108,711

The amount of net gains for the six months ended June 30, 2010 included in earnings attributable to the fair value of changes in assets still held at June 30, 2010 was \$9.4 million. Of this amount \$0.1 million was included in net realized gains and \$9.3 million in net investment income.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for all investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs during the six months ended June 30, 2009:

	Ma	ixed turity stments	Other vestments	Eq.	uity rities	Total
Level 3 investments as of January 1, 2009	\$	352	\$ 60,237	\$	_	\$60,589
Net purchases (sales and distributions)		_	12,415	2	,006	14,421
Total realized and unrealized (gains) losses		(89)	(1,613)	1	,194	(508)
Net transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3	<u> </u>					
Level 3 investments as of June 30, 2009	\$	263	\$ 71,039	\$ 3	,200	\$74,502

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

5. INVESTMENTS — (cont'd)

The amount of net losses/(gains) for the six months ended June 30, 2009 included in earnings attributable to the fair value of changes in assets still held at June 30, 2009 was \$(0.6) million. Of this amount \$1.1 million was included in net realized gains/(losses) and \$(1.7) million in net investment income.

During the period ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, proceeds from the sale and maturities of available-for-sale securities were \$54.9 million and \$248.0 million, respectively. Gross realized gains on sale of available-for-sale securities were \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, and gross unrealized losses on sale of available-for-sale securities, were \$nil and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Restricted Investments

The Company is required to maintain investments on deposit with various regulatory authorities to support its insurance and reinsurance operations. The investments on deposit are available to settle insurance and reinsurance liabilities. The Company also utilizes trust accounts to collateralize business with its insurance and reinsurance counterparties. These trust accounts generally take the place of letter of credit requirements. The investments in trust as collateral are primarily highly rated fixed maturity securities. The carrying value of the Company's restricted investments as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was as follows:

	June 30, 2010	De	cember 31, 2009
Assets used for collateral in trust for third-party agreements	\$247,964	\$	214,149
Deposits with regulatory authorities	17,558		12,998
	\$265,522	\$	227,147

6. INVESTMENT IN PARTLY OWNED COMPANIES

On June 13, 2008, the Company's indirect subsidiary Virginia Holdings Ltd. ("Virginia"), completed the acquisition from Dukes Place Holdings, L.P. (a portfolio company of GSC European Mezzanine Fund II, L.P.) of 44.4% of the outstanding capital stock of Stonewall Acquisition Corporation ("SAC"), the parent of two Rhode Island-domiciled insurers in run-off, Stonewall Insurance Company and Seaton Insurance Company. The total purchase price, including acquisition costs, was \$21.4 million and was funded from available cash on hand. SAC entered into a definitive agreement on December 3, 2009 for the sale of its shares in Stonewall Insurance Company to Columbia Insurance Company, an affiliate of National Indemnity Company (an indirect subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway, Inc.), for a sale price of \$56.0 million, subject to certain post-closing purchase price adjustments that brought the total consideration received to \$60.4 million. The transaction received the required regulatory approval on March 31, 2010 and subsequently closed on April 7, 2010. The proceeds received by SAC are being distributed among Dukes Place Holdings, L.P. and Virginia. The investment is carried on the equity basis whereby the investment is initially recorded at cost and adjusted to reflect the Company's share of after-tax earnings or losses and unrealized investment gains and losses and reduced by dividends. During the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010 the Company recorded earnings of \$2.2 million and \$9.4 million, respectively, representing the Company's share of after-tax earnings as compared to \$nil and \$0.3 million for the same periods in 2009.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

6. INVESTMENT IN PARTLY OWNED COMPANIES — (cont'd)

The following summarized financial information for SAC is derived from its unaudited quarterly financial statements:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		hs Ended
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Total revenues	\$4,937	\$ 2,007	\$ 5,749	\$ 3,104
Total expenses	(530)	(2,007)	12,958	(2,497)
Income from continuing operations	4,407	_	18,707	607
Net income	\$4,407	s —	\$18,707	\$ 607

The balance of the investment in partly owned company was \$nil and \$20.9 million at June 30,2010 and December 31,2009, respectively.

7. LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES

The table below provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables.

Three Months Ended June 30,			
2010	2009		
\$2,890,723	\$2,797,827		
435,680	379,615		
2,455,043	2,418,212		
(42,366)	(17,393)		
(47,863)	(67,449)		
(26,454)	72,776		
134,129			
\$2,472,489	\$2,406,146		
421,864	375,431		
\$2,894,353	\$2,781,577		
	2010 \$2,890,723 435,680 2,455,043 (42,366) (47,863) (26,454) 134,129 \$2,472,489 421,864		

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

7. LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES — (cont'd)

The following table shows the components of the movement in the net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

	Three Months E	Ended June 30,
	2010	2009
Net losses paid	\$(47,863)	\$(67,449)
Net change in case and loss adjustment expense (LAE) reserves	53,718	26,896
Net change in incurred but not reported (IBNR) reserves	29,249	58,295
Reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses	35,104	17,742
Reduction in provisions for bad debt	7,768	_
Reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities	11,696	9,422
Amortization of fair value adjustments	(12,202)	(9,771)
Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities	\$ 42,366	\$ 17,393

Net change in case and LAE reserves comprises the movement during the quarter in specific case reserve liabilities as a result of claims settlements or changes advised to us by the Company's policyholders and attomeys, less changes in case reserves recoverable advised by the Company to its reinsurers as a result of the settlement or movement of assumed claims. Net change in IBNR reserves represents the change in the Company's actuarial estimates of losses incurred but not reported.

The net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the three months ended June 30, 2010 of \$42.4 million was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$35.1 million, a reduction in provisions for bad debt of \$7.8 million and a reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$11.7 million, relating to 2010 run-off activity, partially offset by the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$12.2 million.

The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$35.1 million comprised net favorable incurred loss development of \$5.9 million along with reductions in IBNR reserves of \$29.2 million. Subsequent to June 30, 2010, claims liabilities of certain policyholders within a number of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries were commuted at levels that required the reduction in IBNR reserves for those subsidiaries. The reductions in provisions for bad debt of \$7.8 million resulted from the collection of receivables against which bad debt provisions had been provided in earlier periods.

The net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the three months ended June 30, 2009 of \$17.4 million was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$17.7 million and a reduction in loss adjustment expense of \$9.4 million, relating to 2009 run-off activity, partially offset by the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments of \$9.8 million relating to companies acquired. The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$17.7 million primarily related to the reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$13.0 million in one of the Company's subsidiaries. This reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$13.0 million was comprised of net favorable incurred loss development for the six months ended June 30, 2009 of \$2.6 million and reductions in IBNR reserves of \$10.4 million. The net favorable incurred loss development of \$2.6 million, whereby net advised case and LAE reserves of \$6.6 million were settled for net paid losses of \$4.0 million, arose from the settlement of losses during the period below carried reserves. The net reduction in the estimate of the subsidiary's IBNR loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$10.4 million was the result of the application of the Company's reserving methodologies to the reduced case and LAE reserves following the subsidiary's semi-annual actuarial review of reserves as required by local regulation.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

7. LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES — (cont'd)

The table below provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables.

	Six Months Er	nded June 30,
	2010	2009
Balance as of January 1	\$2,479,136	\$2,798,287
Less: total reinsurance reserves recoverable	347,728	394,575
	2,131,408	2,403,712
Net reduction in ultimate losses and loss adjustment expense liabilities	(51,962)	(44,072)
Net losses paid	(131,088)	(79,821)
Effect of exchange rate movement	(62,429)	66,126
Retroactive reinsurance contracts assumed	364,518	48,818
Acquired on purchase of subsidiaries	222,042	11,383
Net balance as at June 30	\$2,472,489	\$2,406,146
Plus: total reinsurance reserves recoverable	421,864	375,431
Balance as at June 30	\$2,894,353	\$2,781,577

The following table shows the components of the movement in the net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the six months ended June 30,2010 and 2009:

	Six Months Ended June 3	
	2010	2009
Net losses paid	\$(131,088)	\$(79,821)
Net change in case and LAE reserves	132,572	58,904
Net change in IBNR	35,562	68,483
Reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses	37,046	47,566
Reduction in provisions for bad debt	13,107	9,714
Reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities	20,661	19,540
Amortization of fair value adjustments	(18,852)	(32,748)
Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities	\$ 51,962	\$ 44,072

The net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 of \$52.0 million was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$37.0 million, a reduction in provisions for bad debt of \$13.1 million and a reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$20.7 million, relating to 2010 run-off activity, partially offset by the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$18.9 million.

The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$37.0 million comprised net favorable incurred loss development of \$1.5 million along with reductions in IBNR reserves of \$35.6 million. Subsequent to June 30, 2010, claims liabilities of certain policyholders within a number of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries were communed at levels that required the reduction in IBNR reserves for those subsidiaries. The reductions in provisions for bad debt of \$13.1 million resulted from the collection of receivables against which bad debt provisions had been provided in earlier periods.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

7. LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES — (cont'd)

The net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 of \$44.1 million was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$47.6 million, a reduction in provisions for bad debts of \$9.7 million and a reduction in estimates of loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$19.5 million, relating to 2009 run-off activity, partially offset by the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$32.7 million.

The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$47.6 million primarily related to a reduction in estimates of loss reserves in two of the Company's subsidiaries of \$33.9 million following the commutation of one of the Company's largest ten assumed and ceded exposures at less than case and LAE reserves and the agreement of claims liabilities of certain policyholders at levels that required a reassessment of IBNR reserves.

In addition, the Company recognized a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$13.0 million in one of the Company's subsidiaries as a result of net favorable incurred loss development for the six months ended June 30, 2009 of \$2.6 million and reductions in IBNR reserves of \$10.4 million. The net favorable incurred loss development of \$2.6 million, whereby net advised case and LAE reserves of \$6.6 million were settled for net paid losses of \$4.0 million, arose from the settlement of losses during the period below carried reserves. The net reduction in the estimate of the subsidiary's IBNR loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$10.4 million was the result of the application of the Company's reserving methodologies to the reduced case and LAE reserves following the subsidiary's semi-annual actuarial review of reserves as required by local regulation.

8. LOANS PAYABLE

Total amounts of long-term debt outstanding as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 totaled \$270.9 million and \$255.0 million, respectively, and were comprised of the following:

Facility	Date of Facility	June 30, 2010	Dece	ember 31, 2009
Cumberland — Facility B	March 4, 2008	\$ 63,926	\$	67,071
Unionamerica — Facility A	December 30, 2008	153,292		155,268
Unionamerica — Facility B	December 30, 2008	32,164		32,622
Knapton	April 20, 2010	21,537		
		\$ 270,919	\$	254,961

In April 2010, Knapton Holdings entered into the Knapton Facility, a term facility agreement with a London-based bank. On April 20, 2010, Knapton Holdings drew down \$21.4 million from the Knapton Facility to partially refinance the acquisition of Knapton. The interest rate on the Knapton Facility is LIBOR plus 2.75%. The Knapton Facility is repayable in three years and is secured by a first charge over Knapton Holding's shares in Knapton. The Knapton Facility contains various financial and business covenants, including limitations on mergers and consolidations involving Knapton Holdings and its subsidiaries. As of June 30, 2010, all of the financial covenants relating to the Knapton Facility were met.

On July 16, 2010, the Company entered into a term facility agreement with a London-based bank (the "Enstar Facility"). On July 19, 2010, the Company drew down \$25.0 million from the Enstar Facility to fund the acquisition of PWAC. The interest rate on the Enstar Facility is LIBOR plus 2.75%. The Facility is repayable in three months and is unsecured. The Enstar Facility contains various financial and business undertakings.

The Cumberland and Unionamerica facilities are described in Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

9. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

The Company's share-based compensation plans provide for the grant of various awards to our employees and to members of the board of directors. These are described in Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. The information below includes both the employee and director components of the Company's share-based compensation.

a) Employee share plans

Employee stock awards for the six months ended June 30, 2010 are summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares	Ave	eighted erage Fair alue of e Award
Nonvested — January 1, 2010	1,636	\$	102
Granted	235,811		16,041
Vested	(83,517)		(5,656)
Nonvested — June 30, 2010	153,930	\$	10,227

i) 2006-2010 Annual Incentive Plan and 2006 Equity Incentive Plan

For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, 78,664 and 64,378 shares, respectively, were awarded to directors, officers and employees under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. The total value of the award for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$5.4 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, and was charged against the 2006-2010 Annual Incentive Plan accrual established for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In addition, for the six months ended June 30, 2010, 153,930 restricted shares were awarded to certain employees under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. The total unrecognized compensation cost related to the non-vested share award as at June 30, 2010 was \$9.9 million. These costs are expected to be recognized evenly over the next 5.5 years. Compensation costs of \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million relating to the share award were recognized in the Company's statement of earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

The accrued expense relating to the 2006-2010 Annual Incentive Plan for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$2.2 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, as compared to \$1.6 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

ii) Enstar Group Limited Employee Share Purchase Plan

Compensation costs of less than \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million relating to the shares issued have been recognized in the Company's statement of earnings for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. As at June 30, 2010, 11,505 shares have been issued to employees under the Enstar Group Limited Employee Share Purchase Plan.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

9. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS — (cont'd)

(b) Options

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Intrinsic Value of Shares
Outstanding — January 1, 2010	327,586	\$ 29.49	\$14,261
Granted	_	_	_
Exercised	(106,920)	28.29	(3,741)
Forfeited		_	_
Outstanding — June 30, 2010	220,666	\$ 30.07	\$ 8,026

Stock options outstanding and exercisable as of June 30, 2010 were as follows:

Ranges of Exercise Prices	Number of Options	 nted Average rcise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life
\$10 \$20	112,785	\$ 19.03	1.0 years
\$40 — \$60	107,881	41.61	3.2 years

(c) Deferred Compensation and Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors

For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, 3,134 and 3,431 restricted share units, respectively, were credited to the accounts of non-employee directors under the Company's Deferred Compensation and Ordinary Share Plan for Non-Employee Directors.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

10. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the comparison of basic and diluted earnings per share of amounts attributable to the Company's ordinary shareholders for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

	ree Months Ended June 30, 2010	Т	hree Months Ended June 30, 2009	 Months Ended June 30, 2010	x Months Ended June 30, 2009
Basic earnings per share:					
Net earnings attributable to Enstar Group					
Limited	\$ 12,430	\$	8,751	\$ 28,351	\$ 20,282
Weighted average shares outstanding — basic	13,702,832		13,532,608	13,661,516	13,448,525
Earnings per share attributable to Enstar Group					
Limited — basic	\$ 0.91	\$	0.65	\$ 2.08	\$ 1.51
Diluted earnings per share:					
Net earnings attributable to Enstar Group					
Limited	\$ 12,430	\$	8,751	\$ 28,351	\$ 20,282
Weighted average shares outstanding — basic	13,702,832		13,532,608	13,661,516	13,448,525
Share equivalents:					
Unvested Shares	154,088		1,769	97,018	7,726
Restricted share units	16,059		7,633	15,233	7,532
Options	146,510		245,543	 151,784	237,070
Weighted average shares outstanding — diluted	14,019,489		13,787,553	13,925,551	13,700,853
Earnings per share attributable to Enstar Group					
Limited — diluted	\$ 0.89	\$	0.63	\$ 2.04	\$ 1.48

11. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company has entered into certain transactions with companies and partnerships that are affiliated with J. Christopher Flowers and John J. Oros. Mr. Flowers is a member of the Company's board of directors and one of the Company's largest shareholders, and Mr. Oros is an executive officer and member of the Company's board of directors.

- In March 2010, the Company committed to invest \$20.0 million in Varadero International Ltd. ("Varadero"), a hedge fund. The
 investment manager of Varadero is Varadero Capital, L.P., of which Varadero GP, LLC is the general partner. Both the
 investment manager and general partner are partially owned by an entity affiliated with Messrs. Flowers and Oros.
- During the six months ended June 30, 2010, and excluding Varadero, the Company did not fund any of its remaining outstanding capital commitments to entities affiliated with Messrs. Flowers and Oros. The Company had, as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, investments in entities affiliated with Messrs. Flowers and Oros (excluding Varadero) with a total value of \$78.1 million and \$76.1 million, respectively, and outstanding commitments to entities managed by Mr. Flowers, as of those same dates, of \$98.1 million and \$98.1 million, respectively. The Company's outstanding commitments may be drawn down over approximately the next four years.

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

11. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS — (cont'd)

As at June 30, 2010, the related party investments associated with Messrs. Flowers and Oros accounted for 97.5% of the total unfunded capital commitments of the Company, 58.8% of the total amount of investments classified as other investments by the Company.

12. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The determination of reportable segments is based on how senior management monitors the Company's operations. The Company measures the results of its operations under two major business categories: reinsurance and consulting.

The Company's consulting segment comprises the operations and financial results of those subsidiaries that provide management and consulting services, forensic claims inspections services and reinsurance collection services to third-party clients, as well as to the Company's reinsurance segment, in return for management fees. The Company provides consulting and management services through its subsidiaries located in the United States, Bermuda and Europe to large multinational company clients with insurance and reinsurance companies and portfolios in run-off relating to risks spanning the globe. As a result, extracting and quantifying revenues attributable to certain geographic locations would be impracticable given the global nature of the business.

All of the consulting fees for the reinsurance segment relate to intercompany fees paid to the consulting segment.

	Three Mon	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010			
	Reinsurance	Consulting	Total		
Consulting fees	\$ (10,089)	\$ 13,589	\$ 3,500		
Net investment income	23,825	(827)	22,998		
Net realized losses	(4,227)		(4,227)		
	9,509	12,762	22,271		
Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense:					
Reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses	(35,104)	_	(35,104)		
Reduction in provisions for bad debt	(7,768)	_	(7,768)		
Reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities	(11,696)	_	(11,696)		
Amortization of fair value adjustments	12,202		12,202		
	(42,366)		(42,366)		
Salaries and benefits	3,834	10,420	14,254		
General and administrative expenses	10,630	5,171	15,801		
Interest expense	2,805	_	2,805		
Net foreign exchange (gain) loss	(5,904)	289	(5,615)		
	(31,001)	15,880	(15,121)		

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

12. SEGMENT INFORMATION — (cont'd)

Earnings before income taxes Income taxes

Less: Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest

Net earnings (loss) attributable to Enstar Group Limited

Net earnings (loss)

	Three Mor	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010		
	Reinsurance	Consulting	Total	
Earnings (loss) before income taxes and share of net earnings of partly owned company	40,510	(3,118)	37,392	
Income taxes	(16,235)	120	(16,115)	
Share of net earnings of partly owned company	2,203		2,203	
Net earnings (loss)	26,478	(2,998)	23,480	
Less: Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest	(11,050)	` —	(11,050)	
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Enstar Group Limited	\$ 15,428	\$ (2,998)	\$ 12,430	
	771 34		20.2000	
	Reinsurance	ths Ended June 3	Total	
Consulting fees	\$ (8,247)	\$ 12,426	\$ 4,179	
Net investment income	17,593	900	18,493	
Net realized gains	5,080		5,080	
	14,426	13,326	27,752	
Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities:				
Reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses	(17,742)	_	(17,742)	
Reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities	(9,422)	_	(9,422)	
Amortization of fair value adjustments	9,771		9,771	
	(17,393)	_	(17,393)	
Salaries and benefits	2,961	8,953	11,914	
General and administrative expenses	6,727	4,183	10,910	
Interest expense	4,675	_	4,675	
Net foreign exchange gain	(948)	(663)	(1,611)	
	(2.050)	10 150	0.105	

12,473

853 (1,700)

(847)

(847)

(3,978)

18,404 1,723

20,127

(10,529)

9,598

8,495

19,257

19,280

(10,529)

\$ 8,751

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

12. SEGMENT INFORMATION — (cont'd)

	Six Mont	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010			
	Reinsurance	Consulting	Total		
Consulting fees	\$ (31,592)	\$ 49,220	\$ 17,628		
Net investment income	49,126	(7)	49,119		
Net realized losses	(2,025)		(2,025)		
	15,509	49,213	64,722		
Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities:					
Reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses	(37,046)	_	(37,046)		
Reduction in provisions for bad debt	(13,107)	_	(13,107)		
Reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities	(20,661)	_	(20,661)		
Amortization of fair value adjustments	18,852		18,852		
	(51,962)	_	(51,962)		
Salaries and benefits	6,135	23,309	29,444		
General and administrative expenses	16,534	9,754	26,288		
Interest expense	5,199	_	5,199		
Net foreign exchange loss	1,321	652	1,973		
	(22,773)	33,715	10,942		
Earnings before income taxes and share of net earnings of partly owned company	38,282	15,498	53,780		
Income taxes	(18,583)	(3,454)	(22,037)		
Share of net earnings of partly owned company	9,353		9,353		
Net earnings	29,052	12,044	41,096		
Less: Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest	(12,745)		(12,745)		
Net earnings attributable to Enstar Group Limited	\$ 16,307	\$ 12,044	\$ 28,351		

NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

12. SEGMENT INFORMATION — (cont'd)

Six Monta Jame Includation Consulting fees \$ (16,243) \$ 23,758 Net investment income 34,690 1,112 Net realized losses (930) — 17,517 24,870	Total \$ 7,515 35,802 (930) 42,387 (47,566)
Consulting fees \$ (16,243) \$ 23,758 Net investment income 34,690 1,112 Net realized losses (930) — 17,517 24,870 Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities: —	\$ 7,515 35,802 (930) 42,387 (47,566)
Net investment income 34,690 1,112 Net realized losses (930) — 17,517 24,870 Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities: —	35,802 (930) 42,387 (47,566)
Net realized losses (930) $ 17,517$ $24,870$ Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities:	(930) 42,387 (47,566)
Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities:	42,387 (47,566)
Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities:	(47,566)
Reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses (47,566)	(0.714)
Reduction in provisions for bad debt (9,714) —	(9,714)
Reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities (19,540)	(19,540)
Amortization of fair value adjustments32,748	32,748
(44,072)	(44,072)
Salaries and benefits 6,427 17,904	24,331
General and administrative expenses 14,784 8,508	23,292
Interest expense 9,640 —	9,640
Net foreign exchange loss (gain)361(374)	(13)
(12,860)26,038	13,178
Earnings (loss) before income taxes and share of net earnings of partly owned company 30,377 (1,168)	29,209
Income taxes 1,848 (1,207)	641
Share of net earnings of partly owned company	269
Net earnings (loss) 32,494 (2,375)	30,119
Less: Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest (9,837) —	(9,837)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to Enstar Group Limited \$ 22,657 \$ (2,375)	\$ 20,282

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Enstar Group Limited

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Enstar Group Limited and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2010, and the related condensed consolidated statements of earnings and comprehensive income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Enstar Group Limited and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended; and in our report dated March 3, 2010, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche

Hamilton, Bermuda August 6, 2010

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is a discussion and analysis of our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the attached unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Business Overview

Enstar Group Limited, or Enstar, was formed in August 2001 under the laws of Bermuda to acquire and manage insurance and reinsurance companies in run-off and portfolios of insurance and reinsurance business in run-off, and to provide management, consulting and other services to the insurance and reinsurance industry.

Since our formation, we have acquired a number of insurance and reinsurance companies and several portfolios of insurance and reinsurance business and are now administering those businesses in run-off. We derive our net earnings from the ownership and management of these companies and portfolios of business in run-off primarily by settling insurance and reinsurance claims below the recorded loss reserves and from returns on the portfolio of investments retained to pay future claims. In addition, we provide management and consultancy services, claims inspection services and reinsurance collection services to our affiliates and third-party clients for both fixed and success-based fees.

Recent Transactions

Providence Washington

On July 20, 2010, we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary PWAC Holdings, Inc., completed the acquisition of PW Acquisition Company, or PWAC, for a purchase price of \$25.0 million. PWAC owns the entire share capital of Providence Washington Insurance Company. Providence Washington Insurance Company and its two subsidiaries are Rhode Island-domiciled insurers that are in run-off. The purchase price was financed by a term facility provided by a London-based bank, or the Enstar Facility.

Sale of Interest in Stonewall

On June 13, 2008, our indirect subsidiary Virginia Holdings Ltd., or Virginia, completed the acquisition from Dukes Place Holdings, L.P. (a portfolio company of GSC European Mezzanine Fund II, L.P.) of 44.4% of the outstanding capital stock of Stonewall Acquisition Corporation, or SAC, the parent of two Rhode Island-domiciled insurers in run-off, Stonewall Insurance Company and Seaton Insurance Company. The total purchase price, including acquisition costs, was \$21.4 million and was funded from available cash on hand. SAC entered into a definitive agreement on December 3, 2009 for the sale of its shares in Stonewall Insurance Company to Columbia Insurance Company, an affiliate of National Indemnity Company (an indirect subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway, Inc.), for a sale price of \$56.0 million, subject to certain post-closing purchase price adjustments that brought the total consideration received to \$60.4 million. The transaction received the required regulatory approval on March 31, 2010 and subsequently closed on April 7, 2010. The proceeds received by SAC are being distributed among Dukes Place Holdings, L.P. and Virginia.

Knapton Insurance (formerly British Engine)

On March 2, 2010, we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Knapton Holdings Limited, or Knapton Holdings, completed the acquisition of Knapton Insurance Limited, formerly British Engine Insurance Limited, or Knapton, from RSA Insurance Group ple for a total purchase price of £28.8 million (approximately \$44.0 million). Knapton is a U.K.-domiciled reinsurer that is in run-off. The acquisition was funded from available cash on hand.

In April 2010, Knapton Holdings entered into a term facility agreement with a London-based bank, or the Knapton Facility. On April 20, 2010, Knapton Holdings drew down \$21.4 million from the Knapton Facility.

Assuransinvest

On March 30, 2010, we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary Nordic Run-Off Limited, completed the acquisition of Forsakringsaktiebolaget Assuransinvest MF, or Assuransinvest, for a purchase price of SEK 78.8 million (approximately \$11.0 million). Assuransinvest is a Swedish-domiciled reinsurer that is in run-off. The acquisition was funded from available cash on hand.

Significant New Business

Shelbourne RITC Transactions

In December 2007, we, in conjunction with JCF FPK I L.P., or JCF FPK, and a newly-hired executive management team, formed U.K.-based Shelbourne Group Limited, or Shelbourne, to invest in Reinsurance to Close or "RITC" transactions (the transferring of liabilities from one Lloyd's Syndicate to another) with Lloyd's of London insurance and reinsurance syndicates in run-off. We own approximately 56.8% of Shelbourne, which in turn owns 100% of Shelbourne Syndicate Services Limited, the Managing Agency for Lloyd's Syndicate 2008, a syndicate approved by Lloyd's of London on December 16, 2007 to undertake RITC transactions with Lloyd's syndicates in run-off.

In February 2010, Lloyd's Syndicate 2008 entered into RITC agreements with two Lloyd's syndicates with total gross insurance reserves of approximately \$170.3 million. The capital commitment to Lloyd's Syndicate 2008 with respect to these two RITC agreements amounted to £25.0 million (approximately \$37.5 million), which was fully funded from available cash on hand.

JCF FPK is a joint investment program between J.C. Flowers II L.P., or the Flowers Fund, and Fox-Pitt Kelton Cochran Caronia & Waller (USA) LLC, or FPK. The Flowers Fund is a private investment fund advised by J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC. J. Christopher Flowers, a member of our board of directors and one of our largest shareholders, is the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC. John J. Oros, who is our Executive Chairman and a member of our board of directors, is a Managing Director of J.C. Flowers & Co. LLC. In addition, an affiliate of the Flowers Fund controlled approximately 41% of FPK until its sale of FPK in December 2009.

Fitzwilliam

In February 2010, we, through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Fitzwilliam Insurance Limited, or Fitzwilliam, entered into a 100% quota share reinsurance agreement with Allianz Global Corporate & Specialty AG (UK) Branch, or Allianz, with respect to a specific portfolio of run-off business of Allianz. Fitzwilliam received total assets and assumed total gross reinsurance reserves of approximately \$112.6 million

In July 2010, following the acquisition of the entire issued share capital of Glacier Insurance AG by Torus Insurance (Bermuda) Limited, or Torus, Fitzwilliam entered into two quota share reinsurance agreements with Torus protecting the prior year reserve development of two portfolios of business reinsured by them: a 79% quota share of Torus' 95% quota share reinsurance of Glacier Insurance AG, and a 75% quota share of Torus' 100% quota share reinsurance of Glacier Reinsurance AG. Fitzwilliam received total assets and assumed total gross reinsurance reserves of approximately \$105.0 million.

Bosworth

In May 2010, a specific portfolio of business in run-off underwritten by Mitsui Sumitomo Insurance Co., Ltd. of Japan, or Mitsui, was transferred to our 50.1% owned subsidiary, Bosworth Run-off Limited, or Bosworth. This transfer, which occurred under Part VII of the U.K. Financial Services and Markets Act 2000, was approved by the U.K. Court and took effect on May 31, 2010. As a result of the transfer, Bosworth received total assets and assumed net reinsurance reserves of approximately \$117.5 million. Shinsei Bank, Ltd owns the remaining 49.9% of Bosworth. J. Christopher Flowers, a member of the Company's board of directors and one of its largest shareholders, is a director and the largest shareholder of Shinsei Bank, Ltd.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated statement of operations data for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Mont June	
	2010	2009 (in thousands o	2010	2009
DIGO.E		(in thousands o	or U.S. donars)	
INCOME	0 2 500		0.15.600	
Consulting fees	\$ 3,500	\$ 4,179	\$ 17,628	\$ 7,515
Net investment income	22,998	18,493	49,119	35,802
Net realized (losses) gains	(4,227)	5,080	(2,025)	(930)
	22,271	27,752	64,722	42,387
EXPENSES				
Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities:				
Reductions in estimates of net ultimate losses	(35,104)	(17,742)	(37,046)	(47,566)
Reductions in provisions for bad debt	(7,768)		(13,107)	(9,714)
Reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense				
liabilities	(11,696)	(9,422)	(20,661)	(19,540)
Amortization of fair value adjustments	12,202	9,771	18,852	32,748
	(42,366)	(17,393)	(51,962)	(44,072)
Salaries and benefits	14,254	11.914	29,444	24,331
General and administrative expenses	15,801	10,910	26,288	23,292
Interest expense	2,805	4,675	5,199	9,640
Net foreign exchange (gain) loss	(5,615)	(1,611)	1,973	(13)
	(15,121)	8,495	10,942	13,178
Earnings before income taxes and share of net earnings of partly owned				
company	37,392	19,257	53,780	29,209
Income taxes	(16,115)	23	(22,037)	641
Share of net earnings of partly owned company	2,203	_	9,353	269
NET EARNINGS	23,480	19,280	41,096	30,119
Less: Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest	(11,050)	(10,529)	(12,745)	(9,837)
NET EARNINGS ATTRIBUTABLE TO ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED	\$ 12,430	\$ 8,751	\$ 28,351	\$ 20,282

Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

We reported consolidated net earnings, before net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest, of approximately \$23.5 million and \$19.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in earnings of approximately \$4.2 million was primarily attributable to the following:

- (i) an increase in the net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$25.0 million;
- (ii) an increase of \$4.5 million in net investment income due primarily to an increase in the fair value of our investments classified as other investments;
- (iii) an increase of \$2.3 million in salaries and benefits;
- (iv) an increase in net foreign exchange gains of \$4.0 million; and

- (v) an increase of \$2.2 million in income earned from our investment in our partly owned subsidiary; partially offset by
- (vi) an increase in income taxes of \$16.1 million due to higher tax liabilities recorded on the results of our taxable subsidiaries;
- (vii) a decrease in net realized gains of \$9.3 million due to movement in the value of our equity portfolios; and
- (viii) an increase in our general and administrative expenses of \$4.9 million due to increased bank charges associated with increased letter of credit costs and loan structure fees along with increased expenses associated with Shelbourne and Lloyd's Syndicate 2008.

We recorded noncontrolling interest in earnings of \$11.1 million and \$10.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Net earnings attributable to Enstar Group Limited increased from \$8.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 to \$12.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Consulting Fees:

	Three M	Three Months Ended June 3		
	2010	2009	Variance	
	(in thou	sands of U.S. dolla	rs)	
Consulting	\$ 13,589	\$12,426	\$ 1,163	
Reinsurance	(10,089)	(8,247)	(1,842)	
Total	\$ 3,500	\$ 4,179	\$ (679)	

We earned consulting fees of approximately 13.6 million and 12.4 million for the three months ended June 12.4 million for the million for the

Internal management fees of \$10.1 million and \$8.2 million were paid for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, by our reinsurance companies to our consulting companies. The increase in internal fees paid to the consulting segment was due primarily to fees earned from new acquisitions that were completed subsequent to June 30, 2009.

Net Investment Income and Net Realized (Losses)/Gains:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				
Net	Investment Incom	ne		Net Realized (Losses)/Gains	
2010	2009	Variance (in thousands of	U.S. dollars)	2009	Variance
\$ (827)	\$ 900	\$(1,727)	s —	\$ —	\$ —
23,825	17,593	6,232	(4,227)	5,080	(9,307)
\$22,998	\$18,493	\$ 4,505	\$(4,227)	\$5,080	\$(9,307)
	\$ (827) 23,825 \$ 22,998	\$\\(\begin{array}{c ccc} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 2009 \end{array} \\ & (827) & \begin{array}{c} 900 \\ 23,825 & \begin{array}{c} 17,593 \\ \$22,998 & \begin{array}{c} \$18,493 \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}	Net Investment Income Variance (in thousands of 23,825 17,593 6,232 \$22,998 \$18,493 \$4,505	Net Investment Income 2010 2009 Variance (in thousands of U.S. dollars)	Net Investment Income 2010 2009 Variance (Instead Plane) 2010 2009 2

Net investment income for the three months ended June 30, 2010 increased by \$4.5 million to \$23.0 million, as compared to \$18.5 million for the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily attributable the combination of the following items:

- (i) an increase of \$1.7 million in the fair value of our private equity investments compared to an increase of \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009; and
- (ii) an increase of \$2.2 million in investment income during the three months ended June 30, 2010 from the return on a particular security classified as other investments.

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, we also transferred a significant percentage of our cash holdings into short-term and fixed maturity investments in an effort to seek higher returns. For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we had 62.9% and 39.8%, respectively, of our total cash and investments in short-term and

fixed maturity investments. The average return on our total cash and investments, excluding other investments, for the three months ended June 30,2010 was 1.46%, as compared to the average return of 1.96% for the three months ended June 30,2009. The average Standard & Poor's credit rating of our fixed income investments at June 30,2010 was AA-.

Net realized (losses) gains for the three months ended June 30,2010 and 2009 were \$(4.2) million and \$5.1 million, respectively. The decrease in net realized gains relates primarily to mark-to-market changes in the market value of our equity investments.

Fair Value Measurements

In accordance with the provisions of the fair value measurement and disclosure topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, the Company has categorized its investments that are recorded at fair value among levels as follows:

	June 30, 2010						
			(i	in thousands of	U.S. do	llars)	
	Acti for Ide	ed Prices in ve Markets entical Assets Level 1)	Obse	ficant Other rvable Inputs Level 2)	Uno	Significant observable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value
U.S. government and agency	\$	_	\$	76,214	\$	_	\$ 76,214
Non-U.S. government		_		120,900		_	120,900
Corporate		_		596,354		579	596,933
Residential mortgage-backed		_		7,352		_	7,352
Commercial mortgage-backed		_		_		815	815
Equities		38,484		_		3,238	41,722
Other investments		_		62,702		104,079	166,781
Total investments	\$	38,484	\$	863,522	\$	108,711	\$1,010,717

Net Reduction in Ultimate Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Liabilities:

The following table shows the components of the movement in the net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

	1	Three Months Ended June 3		
		2010		2009
		(in thou U.S. d		
Net losses paid	\$	(47,863)	\$	(67,449)
Net change in case and LAE reserves		53,718		26,896
Net change in IBNR reserves		29,249		58,295
Reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses		35,104		17,742
Reduction in provisions for bad debt		7,768		_
Reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities		11,696		9,422
Amortization of fair value adjustments	_	(12,202)		(9,771)
Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities	\$	42,366	\$	17,393

Net change in case and loss adjustment expense reserves, or LAE reserves, comprises the movement during the quarter in specific case reserve liabilities as a result of claims settlements or changes advised to us by our policyholders and attorneys, less changes in case reserves recoverable advised by us to our reinsurers as a result of the settlement or movement of assumed claims. Net change in Incurred But Not Reported reserves, or IBNR reserves, represents the change in our actuarial estimates of losses incurred but not reported.

The net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the three months ended June 30, 2010 of \$42.4 million was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$35.1 million, a reduction in provisions for bad debt of \$7.8 million and a reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$11.7 million, relating to 2010 run-off activity, partially offset by the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$12.2 million.

The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$35.1 million comprised net favorable incurred loss development of \$5.9 million along with reductions in IBNR reserves of \$29.2 million. Subsequent to June 30, 2010, claims liabilities of certain policyholders within a number of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries were commuted at levels that required the reduction in IBNR reserves for those subsidiaries. The reductions in provisions for bad debt of \$7.8 million resulted from the collection of receivables against which bad debt provisions had been provided in earlier periods.

The net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the three months ended June 30, 2009 of \$17.4 million was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$17.7 million and a reduction in loss adjustment expense of \$9.4 million, relating to 2009 run-off activity, partially offset by the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments of \$9.8 million relating to companies acquired. The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$17.7 million primarily related to the reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$13.0 million in one of our subsidiaries. This reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$13.0 million mas comprised of net favorable incurred loss development for the six months ended June 30, 2009 of \$2.6 million and reductions in IBNR reserves of \$10.4 million. The net favorable incurred loss development of \$2.6 million, whereby net advised case and LAE reserves of \$6.6 million were settled for net paid losses of \$4.0 million, arose from the settlement of losses during the period below carried reserves. The net reduction in the estimate of the subsidiary's IBNR loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$10.4 million was the result of the application of our reserving methodologies to the reduced case and LAE reserves following the subsidiary's semi-annual actuarial review of reserves as required by local regulation.

The table below provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses for the three months ended June 30,2010 and 2009. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables.

		June 30,		
	2010	2009		
	(in thousands o	of U.S. dollars)		
Balance as at April 1	\$ 2,890,723	\$ 2,797,827		
Less: total reinsurance reserves recoverable	435,680	379,615		
	2,455,043	2,418,212		
Net reduction in ultimate losses and loss adjustment expense liabilities	(42,366)	(17,393)		
Net losses paid	(47,863)	(67,449)		
Effect of exchange rate movement	(26,454)	72,776		
Retroactive reinsurance contracts assumed	134,129			
Net balance as at June 30	\$ 2,472,489	\$ 2,406,146		
Plus: total reinsurance reserves recoverable	421,864	375,431		
Balance as at June 30	\$ 2,894,353	\$ 2,781,577		

Salaries and Benefits:

	Three M	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2010	2010 2009			
	(in thou	(in thousands of U.S. dollars)			
Consulting	\$10,420	\$ 8,953	\$(1,467)		
Reinsurance	3,834	2,961	(873)		
Total	\$14,254	\$11,914	\$ (2,340)		

Salaries and benefits, which include expenses relating to our discretionary bonus and employee share plans, were \$14.3 million and \$11.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The increase in salaries and benefits is primarily attributable to:

- (i) increased staff costs due to an increase in average staff numbers from 286 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 to 309 for the three months ended June 30, 2010;
- (ii) an increase in the discretionary bonus expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010 of \$0.6 million as a result of higher
- (iii) the amortization for the three months ended June 30, 2010 of the unrecognized compensation costs of \$0.5 million in respect of the restricted shares that were awarded to certain employees in 2010 under our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan; and
- (iv) increased U.S. dollar costs of our U.K.-based staff following an increase in the average British pound exchange rate from approximately 1.4950 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 to 1.5256 for the three months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

Of our total headcount as at June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2010, approximately 67% and 68%, respectively, had their salaries paid in British pounds. Expenses relating to our discretionary bonus plan will be variable and are dependent on our overall profitability.

General and Administrative Expenses:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		
		2010	2009	Variance
	_	(in thou	sands of U.S. d	ollars)
Consulting	\$	5,171	\$ 4,183	\$ (988)
Reinsurance	_1	10,630	6,727	(3,903)
Total	<u>\$1</u>	15,801	\$10,910	\$ (4,891)

General and administrative expenses attributable to the reinsurance segment increased by \$3.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009. The increase of \$3.9 million was primarily due to: (i) increased bank costs of \$1.5 million primarily associated with the costs of establishing and maintaining our letters of credit, along with structure fees paid in relation to the establishment of the Knapton Facility; and (ii) increased other general and administrative expenses of \$2.4 million relating primarily to increased expenses associated with Shelbourne and Lloyd's Syndicate 2008.

Interest Expense:

	Three	Three Months Ended June		
	2010	2009	Variance	
	(in th	ousands of U.S.	dollars)	
Consulting	s —	s —	\$ —	
Reinsurance	2,805	4,675	1,870	
Total	\$2,805	\$4,675	\$ 1,870	

Interest expense of \$2.8 million and \$4.7 million were recorded for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in interest expense is primarily attributable to the decrease in the principal remaining on outstanding bank borrowings as at June 30, 2010 as compared to June 30, 2009, as well as lower interest rates. As at June 30, 2009, we had approximately \$354.8 million of outstanding bank debt as compared to approximately \$270.9 million as at June 30, 2010.

Foreign Exchange Gain/(Loss):

		Three M	onths Ended	June 30,
	_	2010	2009	Variance
	_	(in thou	sands of U.S.	dollars)
Consulting	\$	(289)	\$ 663	\$ (952)
Reinsurance	_	5,904	948	4,956
Total	\$	5,615	\$1,611	\$ 4,004

We recorded a foreign exchange gain of \$5.6 million and \$1.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The foreign exchange gain for the three months ended June 30, 2010 arose primarily as a result of the holding of surplus U.S. dollar assets in one of our subsidiaries whose functional currency is Australian dollars at a time when the U.S. dollar had been appreciating against the currency, partially offset by foreign exchange losses arising as a result of our holding surplus British pounds.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, the foreign exchange gain arose primarily as a result of holding surplus British pounds relating primarily to cash collateral requirements to support British pound denominated letters of credit required by U.K. regulators, partially offset by foreign exchange losses arising as a result of the holding of surplus U.S. dollar assets in one of our subsidiaries whose functional currency is Australian dollars at a time when the U.S. dollar had been depreciating against the currency.

In addition to the foreign exchange gains recorded in our consolidated statement of earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2010, we recorded in our condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive income currency translation adjustment losses, net of noncontrolling interest, of \$16.0 million as compared to gains of \$27.7 million for the same period in 2009. For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the currency translation adjustments related primarily to our Australian subsidiaries, or, collectively, Gordian. Since the functional currency of Gordian is Australian dollars, we are required to record any U.S. dollar gains or losses on the translation of the net Australian dollar assets of Gordian through accumulated other comprehensive income.

Income Tax (Expense)/Recovery:

	_	Three M	Months Ended J	une 30,
	_	2010	2009	Variance
	·	(in tho	usands of U.S. d	ollars)
Consulting	S	120	\$(1,700)	\$ 1,820
Reinsurance	<u>-</u>	(16,235)	1,723	(17,958)
Total	5	(16,115)	\$ 23	\$ (16,138)

We recorded income tax (expense)/recovery of \$(16.1) million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was due primarily to an increase in earnings of some of our companies operating in tax paying jurisdictions.

Share of Net Earnings of Partly Owned Company:

	Thr	Three Months Ended June 30		
	2010	2009	Variance	
	(in	thousands of U.S.	. dollars)	
Consulting	\$ -	- \$ —	\$ —	
Reinsurance	2,200	<u> </u>	2,203	
Total	\$2,203	<u> </u>	\$ 2,203	

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, we recorded \$2.2 million for our share of net earnings of partly owned company as compared to \$nii for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The \$2.2 million was our share of the earnings of \$AC which related primarily to the post-closing purchase price adjustment in connection with SAC's sale of its interest in Stonewall Insurance Company (discussed above in "— Recent Transactions").

Noncontrolling Interest:

		Three Months Ended June 30,				
	20	10	20	09	Va	riance
	(in thousands of U.S. dollars)					
Consulting	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Reinsurance	(11	,050)	(10),529)		(521)
Total	\$ (11	,050)	\$ (10),529)	\$	(521)

We recorded noncontrolling interest in earnings of \$11.1 million and \$10.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The costs associated with our noncontrolling interest are variable and wholly dependent on the results for the period of those subsidiaries for which there exists a noncontrolling interest.

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

We reported consolidated net earnings, before net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interest, of approximately \$41.1 million and \$30.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in earnings of approximately \$11.0 million was primarily attributable to the following:

- (i) an increase in investment income (net of realized gains/(losses)) of \$12.2 million primarily as a result of an increase in 2010 in the fair value of our private equity portfolio classified as other investments of \$11.1 million as compared to a writedown in 2009 of \$1.4 million:
- (ii) an increase in consulting fee income of \$10.1 million due to increased fees earned in respect of incentive-based engagements;
- (iii) an increase in the reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$7.9 million;
- (iv) an increase of \$9.1 million in income earned from our investment in our partly owned subsidiary; and
- reduced interest expense of \$4.4 million due primarily to an overall reduction in loan facility balances outstanding as at June 30, 2010; partially offset by
- (vi) an increase in income taxes of \$22.7 million due to higher tax liabilities recorded on the results of our taxable subsidiaries;
- (vii) an increase in our general and administrative expenses of \$3.0 million;
- (viii) an increase in salary and benefits costs of \$5.1 million primarily due to increased salary costs related to our discretionary bonus plan as a result of increased net earnings in the period along with an increase in our overall headcount; and
- (xi) an increase in net foreign exchange losses of \$2.0 million.

We recorded noncontrolling interest in earnings of \$12.7 million and \$9.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Net earnings attributable to Enstar Group Limited increased from \$20.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$28.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Consulting Fees:

		Six Months Ended June 30,			
	201	2010 2009 V:			
		(in thousands of U.S. dollars)			
Consulting	\$ 49,	,220 \$ 2	23,758	\$ 25,462	
Reinsurance	(31,	,592) (1	6,243)	(15,349)	
Total	<u>\$ 17,</u>	,628 \$	7,515	\$ 10,113	

We earned consulting fees of approximately \$49.2 million and \$23.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in consulting fees primarily related to the combination of additional fees received from our reinsurance segment and increased incentive fees earned from third party agreements.

Internal management fees of \$31.6 million and \$16.2 million were paid for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, by our reinsurance companies to our consulting companies. The increase in internal fees paid to the consulting segment was due primarily to additional fees paid by our reinsurance companies relating to allocated charges for increases in salary and general and administrative expenses.

Net Investment Income and Net Realized Losses:

			Six Months End	ed June 30,		
	Ne	t Investment Inco	me	No	et Realized Losses	
	2010	2009	Variance	2010	2009	Variance
			(in thousands of	U.S. dollars)		
Consulting	\$ (7)	\$ 1,112	\$ (1,119)	\$ —	s —	s —
Reinsurance	49,126	34,690	14,436	(2,025)	(930)	(1,095)
Total	\$49,119	\$35,802	\$13,317	\$(2,025)	\$(930)	\$(1,095)

Net investment income for the six months ended June 30, 2010 increased by \$13.3 million to \$49.1 million, as compared to \$35.8 million for the same period in 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in the fair value of our private equity investments of \$12.5 million, from a writedown of \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 to an increase of \$11.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

The average return on our total cash and investments, excluding other investments, for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was 1.84%, as compared to the average return of 1.76% for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The average Standard & Poor's credit rating of our fixed income investments at June 30, 2010 was AA-.

Net realized losses for the six months ended June 30,2010 and 2009 were \$2.0 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. The net realized losses were a result of writedowns in the market value of our equity investments.

Net Reduction in Ultimate Loss and Loss Adjustment Expense Liabilities:

The following table shows the components of the movement in the net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	_	2010 200		
		(in thousands o	of U.S.	dollars)
Net losses paid	\$	(131,088)	\$	(79,821)
Net change in case and LAE reserves		132,572		58,904
Net change in IBNR reserves		35,562		68,483
Reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses		37,046		47,566
Reduction in provisions for bad debt		13,107		9,714
Reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities		20,661		19,540
Amortization of fair value adjustments		(18,852)		(32,748)
Net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities	\$	51,962	\$	44,072

The net reduction in ultimate loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 of \$52.0 million was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$37.0 million, a reduction in provisions for bad debt of \$13.1 million and a reduction in provisions for unallocated loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$20.7 million, relating to 2010 run-off activity, partially offset by the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$18.8 million.

The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$37.0 million comprised net favorable incurred loss development of \$1.5 million along with reductions in IBNR reserves of \$35.6 million. Subsequent to the period end, claims liabilities of certain policyholders within a number of our insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries were commuted at levels that required the reduction in IBNR reserves for those subsidiaries. The reductions in provisions for bad debt of \$13.1 million resulted from the collection of receivables against which bad debt provisions had been provided in earlier periods.

The net reduction in loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 of \$44.1 million was attributable to a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$47.6 million, a reduction in provisions for bad debts of \$9.7 million and a reduction in estimates of loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$19.5 million, relating to 2009 run-off activity, partially offset by the amortization, over the estimated payout period, of fair value adjustments relating to companies acquired amounting to \$32.7 million.

The reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$47.6 million primarily related to a reduction in estimates of loss reserves in two of our subsidiaries of \$33.9 million following the commutation of one of our largest ten assumed and ceded exposures at less than case and LAE reserves and the agreement of claims liabilities of certain policyholders at levels that required a reassessment of IBNR reserves.

In addition, we recognized a reduction in estimates of net ultimate losses of \$13.0 million in one of our subsidiaries as a result of net favorable incurred loss development for the six months ended June 30, 2009 of \$2.6 million and reductions in IBNR reserves of \$10.4 million. The net favorable incurred loss development of \$2.6 million, whereby net advised case and LAE reserves of \$6.6 million were settled for net paid losses of \$4.0 million, arose from the settlement of losses during the period below carried reserves. The net reduction in the estimate of the subsidiary's IBNR loss and loss adjustment expense liabilities of \$10.4 million was the result of the application of our reserving methodologies to the reduced case and LAE reserves following the subsidiary's semi-annual actuarial review of reserves as required by local regulation.

The table below provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables.

	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	
	(in thousands	of U.S. dollars)	
Balance as of January 1	\$ 2,479,136	\$ 2,798,287	
Less: total reinsurance reserves recoverable	347,728	394,575	
	2,131,408	2,403,712	
Net reduction in ultimate losses and loss adjustment expense liabilities	(51,962)	(44,072)	
Net losses paid	(131,088)	(79,821)	
Effect of exchange rate movement	(62,429)	66,126	
Retroactive reinsurance contracts assumed	364,518	48,818	
Acquired on purchase of subsidiaries	222,042	11,383	
Net balance as at June 30	\$ 2,472,489	\$ 2,406,146	
Plus: total reinsurance reserves recoverable	421,864	375,431	
Balance as at June 30	\$ 2,894,353	\$ 2,781,577	

Salaries and Benefits:

		Six Months Ended June 30,			
	20	010	2009	Variance	
		(in thousa	ands of U.S. de	ollars)	
Consulting	\$23	,309	\$17,904	\$ (5,405)	
Reinsurance	6	,135	6,427	292	
Total	\$29	,444	\$24,331	\$ (5,113)	

Salaries and benefits, which include expenses relating to our discretionary bonus and employee share plans, were \$29.4 million and \$24.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The increase in salaries and benefits is primarily attributable to:

- increased staff costs due to an increase in average staff numbers from 287 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 to 302 for the six months ended June 30, 2010;
- (ii) amortization of the unrecognized compensation costs of \$0.6 million relating to the restricted shares that were awarded to certain employees in 2010 under our 2006 Equity Incentive Plan;
- (iii) an increase in the discretionary bonus expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010 of \$1.4 million due to higher earnings; and
- (iv) increased U.S. dollar costs of our U.K.-based staff following an increase in the average British pound exchange rate from approximately 1.4929 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 to 1.5269 for the six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

Of our total headcount as at June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2010, approximately 67% and 68%, respectively, had their salaries paid in British pounds. Expenses relating to our discretionary bonus plan will be variable and are dependent on our overall profitability.

General and Administrative Expenses:

		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	20	10 200	9 Variance	
		(in thousands of	f U.S. dollars)	
Consulting	\$ 9,	754 \$ 8,5	508 \$(1,246)	
Reinsurance	16,	534 14,7	784 (1,750)	
Total	\$26,	288 \$23,2	292 \$(2,996)	

General and administrative expenses attributable to the reinsurance segment increased by \$1.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009. The increase of \$1.8 million mas primarily due to: (i) increased bank costs of \$1.5 million primarily associated with the costs of establishing and maintaining our letters of credit along with structure fees paid in relation to the establishment of the Knapton Facility; and (ii) increased other general and administrative expenses of \$2.0 million relating primarily to increased expenses associated with Shelbourne and Lloyd's Syndicate 2008; partially offset by (iii) reduced rent expense of \$0.8 million in relation to reassessment of lease shortfall and dilapidation costs for office space we acquired upon the acquisition of Copenhagen Reinsurance Company Ltd.; and (iv) reduced professional fees of \$0.8 million.

Interest Expense:

	Six	Months Ended J	une 30,
	2010	2009	Variance
	(in th	ousands of U.S.	dollars)
Consulting	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Reinsurance	5,199	9,640	4,441
Total	\$5,199	\$9,640	\$ 4,441

Interest expense of \$5.2 million and \$9.6 million was recorded for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in interest expense is primarily attributable to the decrease in the principal remaining on outstanding bank borrowings as at June 30, 2010 as compared to June 30, 2009, as well as lower interest rates. As at June 30, 2009 we had approximately \$354.8 million of outstanding bank debt as compared to approximately \$270.9 million as at June 30, 2010.

Foreign Exchange (Loss)/Gain:

	Six Mo	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2010	2009	Variance		
	(in thou	sands of U.S	dollars)		
Consulting	\$ (652)	\$ 374	\$ (1,026)		
Reinsurance	(1,321)	(361)	(960)		
Total	<u>\$(1,973)</u>	\$ 13	\$ (1,986)		

We recorded a foreign exchange (loss) gain of \$(2.0) million and \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The foreign exchange loss for the six months ended June 30, 2010 arose primarily as a result of holding surplus British pounds relating primarily to cash collateral requirements to support British pound denominated letters of credit required by U.K. regulators, partially offset by foreign exchange losses arising as a result of the holding of surplus U.S. dollar assets in one of our subsidiaries whose functional currency is Australian dollars at a time when the U.S. dollar had been appreciating against the currency.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the foreign exchange gain arose primarily as a result of holding surplus British pounds relating primarily to cash collateral requirements to support British pound denominated letters of credit required by U.K. regulators, partially offset by the combination of realized foreign exchange losses on currency translations and foreign exchange losses arising as a result of the holding of surplus U.S. dollar assets in

one of our subsidiaries whose functional currency is Australian dollars at a time when the U.S. dollar had been depreciating against the

In addition to the foreign exchange losses recorded in our consolidated statement of earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2010, we recorded in our condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive income currency translation adjustment losses, net of noncontrolling interest, of \$12.1 million as compared to gains of \$25.6 million for the same period in 2009. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the currency translation adjustments related primarily to Gordian. Since the functional currency of Gordian is Australian dollars, we are required to record any U.S. dollar gains or losses on the translation of the net Australian dollar assets of Gordian through accumulated other comprehensive income.

Income Tax (Expense)/Recovery:

		Six Months Ended June 30,			
	20	010	2009	Variance	
		(in thou	sands of U.S. d	ollars)	
Consulting	\$ (3,454)	\$(1,207)	\$ (2,247)	
Reinsurance		8,583)	1,848	(20,431)	
Total	<u>\$ (2</u>	2,037)	\$ 641	\$ (22,678)	

We recorded income tax (expense)/recovery of \$(22.0) million and \$0.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was due primarily to an increase in earnings of some of our companies operating in tax paying jurisdictions.

Share of Net Earnings of Partly Owned Company:

	Six M	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	Variance	
	(in the	usands of U.S	S. dollars)	
Consulting	s —	\$ —	\$ —	
Reinsurance	9,353	269	9,084	
Total	\$9,353	\$269	\$ 9,084	

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, we recorded \$9.4 million of our share of net earnings of partly owned company as compared to \$0.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The \$9.4 million was our share of the earnings of SAC which related primarily to SAC's sale of its interest in Stonewall Insurance Company (discussed above in "—Recent Transactions").

Noncontrolling Interest:

		Six Months Ended June 30,			
	_	2010	2009	Variance	
	_	(in thousa	nds of U.S. do	llars)	
Consulting	\$	_	\$ —	\$ —	
Reinsurance		(12,745)	(9,837)	(2,908)	
Total	<u>\$</u>	(12,745)	\$(9,837)	\$ (2,908)	

We recorded noncontrolling interest in earnings of \$(12.7) million and \$(9.8) million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The costs associated with our noncontrolling interest is variable and wholly dependent on the results for the period of those subsidiaries for which there exists a noncontrolling interest.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In April 2010, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Knapton Holdings, entered into a term facility agreement with a London-based bank. On April 20, 2010, Knapton Holdings drew down \$21.4 million from the Knapton Facility to

partially fund the acquisition of Knapton. The interest rate on the Knapton Facility is LIBOR plus 2.75%. The Knapton Facility is repayable in three years and is secured by a first charge over Knapton Holding's shares in Knapton. The Knapton Facility contains various financial and business covenants, including limitations on mergers and consolidations involving Knapton Holdings and its subsidiaries. As of June 30, 2010, all of the financial covenants relating to the Knapton Facility were met.

On July 16, 2010, in advance of the closing of the PWAC acquisition, we entered into a term facility agreement with a London-based bank, or the Enstar Facility. On July 19, 2010, we drew down \$25.0 million from the Enstar Facility to fund the acquisition of PWAC. The interest rate on the Enstar Facility is ILBOR plus 2.75%. The Enstar Facility is repayable in three months and is unsecured. The Enstar Facility contains various financial and business covenants.

Other than the above, there have been no material changes to our liquidity position or capital resource requirements since December 31, 2009. For more information refer to "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources" included in Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

With respect to the six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, net cash (used in) provided by our operating activities was \$(696.4) million and \$29.1 million, respectively. The decrease in cash flows was primarily attributable to:

- (i) an increase in the net purchase of trading securities of \$682.1 million resulting primarily from \$557.5 million in net purchases of trading securities during the three months ended June 30, 2010, and the acquisition of trading securities in the Knapton and Assuransinvest acquisitions and in connection with the completion of two new RITC transactions;
- (ii) an increase in the movement of total reinsurance balances receivable and reinsurance balances payable of \$62.6 million due primarily to the completion of the acquisitions and RITC transactions noted above; and
- (iii) an increase in the net movement of other assets and other liabilities of \$165.3 million related primarily to the completion of the acquisitions and RITC transactions noted above along with the completion of the 100% quota share reinsurance agreement with Allianz; partially offset by
- (iv) an increase in loss and loss adjustment expenses of \$230.7 million primarily due to the completion of the acquisitions and RITC transactions noted above along with the completion of the transfer from Mitsui of a portfolio of run-off business to Bosworth.

We changed our investment policy effective April 1, 2010, and as a result, we now classify all of our short-term investments as trading securities, including those we acquire in connection with our acquisitions. Due to the nature of our operating activities — managing insurance and reinsurance companies and portfolios of insurance and reinsurance in run-off — it is not unexpected to have significant swings in net cash provided by our operating activities.

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities for the six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$116.5 million and \$(312.2) million, respectively. The increase in net cash provided by investing activities was primarily due to the following:

- an increase of \$148.7 million in net cash acquired on completed acquisitions;
- (ii) an increase of \$35.6 million in total net purchases and maturities of held-to-maturity securities;
- (iii) an increase of \$207.4 million of restricted cash due primarily to increased letter of credit funding requirements in relation to the Bosworth run-off business; and
- (iv) an increase of \$44.8 million in total net purchases, sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities.

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities for the six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$29.6 million and \$(74.5) million, respectively. The increase in net cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to an increase in net capital contributions received from noncontrolling interests of \$33.9 million and

an increase in loan receipts, during 2010, of \$21.4 million relating to the Knapton Facility as compared to \$57.6 million of loan repayments during 2009.

Commitments and Contingencies

There have been no other material changes in our commitments or contingencies since December 31, 2009. Refer to Item 7 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our critical accounting estimates are discussed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Off-Balance Sheet and Special Purpose Entity Arrangements

At June 30, 2010, we have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined by Item 303(a)(4) of Regulation S-K.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This quarterly report contains statements that constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, with respect to our financial condition, results of operations, business strategies, operating efficiencies, competitive positions, growth opportunities, plans and objectives of our management, as well as the markets for our ordinary shares and the insurance and reinsurance sectors in general. Statements that include words such as "estimate," "project," "plan," "intend," "expect," "anticipate," "believe," "would," "should," "could," "seek," and similar statements of a future or forward-looking nature identify forward-looking statements for purposes of the federal securities laws or otherwise. All forward-looking statements are necessarily estimates or expectations, and not statements of historical fact, reflecting the best judgment of our management and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements should, therefore, be considered in light of various important factors, including those set forth in this quarterly report.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements include:

- · risks associated with implementing our business strategies and initiatives;
- · the adequacy of our loss reserves and the need to adjust such reserves as claims develop over time;
- · risks relating to the availability and collectability of our reinsurance;
- risks that we may require additional capital in the future which may not be available or may be available only on unfavorable terms:
- changes and uncertainty in economic conditions, including interest rates, inflation, currency exchange rates, equity markets and
 credit conditions including current market conditions and the instability in the global credit markets, which could affect our
 investment portfolio, our ability to finance future acquisitions and our profitability;
- · losses due to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations;
- tax, regulatory or legal restrictions or limitations applicable to us or the insurance and reinsurance business generally;
- increased competitive pressures, including the consolidation and increased globalization of reinsurance providers;
- · emerging claim and coverage issues;

- · lengthy and unpredictable litigation affecting assessment of losses and/or coverage issues;
- · loss of key personnel;
- changes in our plans, strategies, objectives, expectations or intentions, which may happen at any time at management's discretion;
- · operational risks, including system or human failures;
- the risk that ongoing or future industry regulatory developments will disrupt our business, or mandate changes in industry
 practices in ways that increase our costs, decrease our revenues or require us to alter aspects of the way we do business;
- · changes in Bermuda law or regulation or the political stability of Bermuda;
- changes in tax laws or regulations applicable to us or our subsidiaries, or the risk that we or one of our non-U.S. subsidiaries become subject to significant, or significantly increased, income taxes in the United States or elsewhere; and
- · changes in accounting policies or practices.

The factors listed above should be not construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with the other cautionary statements and Risk Factors that are included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 as well as in the other materials filed and to be filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or review any forward looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no material changes in our market risk exposures since December 31, 2009. For more information refer to "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" included in Item 7A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management performed an evaluation, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) as of June 30, 2010. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information that we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC and is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls

Our management has performed an evaluation, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the three months ended June 30, 2010. Based upon that evaluation there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the three months ended June 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are, from time to time, involved in various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business, including litigation regarding claims. We do not believe that the resolution of any currently pending legal proceedings, either individually or taken as a whole, will have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Nevertheless, we cannot assure you that lawsuits, arbitrations or other litigation will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. We anticipate that, similar to the rest of the insurance and reinsurance industry, we will continue to be subject to litigation and arbitration proceedings in the ordinary course of business, including litigation generally related to the scope of coverage with respect to asbestos and environmental claims. There can be no assurance that any such future litigation will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In April 2008, we, Enstar US, Inc., or Enstar US, Dukes Place Limited and certain affiliates of Dukes Place, or, collectively, Dukes Place, were named as defendants in a lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York by National Indemnity Company, or NICO, an indirect subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway, Inc. The complaint alleged, among other things, that Dukes Place, we and Enstar US: (i) interfered with the rights of NICO as reinsurer under reinsurance agreements entered into between NICO and each of Stonewall and Seaton, two Rhode Island domiciled insurers that are indirect subsidiaries of Dukes Place, and (ii) breached certain duties owed to NICO under management agreements between Enstar US and each of Stonewall and Seaton. The suit was filed shortly after Virginia Holdings Ltd., our indirect subsidiary, or Virginia, completed a hearing before the Rhode Island Department of Business Regulation as part of Virginia's application to buy a 44.4% interest in the insurers from Dukes Place. Virginia completed that acquisition on June 13, 2008. The suit did not seek a stated amount of damages. In a letter dated July 1, 2009, the parties requested a stay of the proceedings, which was granted by the Court by Order dated August 26, 2009. On April 7, 2010, Stonewall Acquisition Corporation, a corporation in which we own a 44.4% interest, closed a transaction in which it sold all of the shares of Stonewall Insurance Company to Columbia Insurance Company, an affiliate of NICO for a purchase price of \$56.0 million (subject to certain post-closing purchase price adjustments). In connection with this transaction, we, Enstar US, Dukes Place, and NICO entered into a Mutual Release Agreement, dated as of April 7, 2010, pursuant to which the parties (i) dismissed the claims and counterclaims against one another in connection with the above-referenced litigation, and (ii) released and discharged each other from any and all liabilities arising out of, or relating to, the above-referenced li

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our results of operations and financial condition are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties described in "Risk Factors" included in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. The risk factors identified therein have not materially changed.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
15.1*	Deloitte & Touche Letter Regarding Unaudited Interim Financial Information.
31.1*	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1** 32.2**	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

^{*} Filed herewith

^{**} Furnished herewith

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on August 6,2010.

ENSTAR GROUP LIMITED

By: /s/ Richard J. Harris Richard J. Harris, Chief Financial Officer, Authorized Signatory and Principal Accounting and Financial Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
NO.	Description
15.1*	Deloitte & Touche Letter Regarding Unaudited Interim Financial Information.
31.1*	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1**	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2**	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

^{*} Filed herewith

^{**} Furnished herewith

Enstar Group Limited 3rd Floor, Windsor Place 18 Queen Street Hamilton HM JX, Bermuda

We have reviewed, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial information of Enstar Group Limited and subsidiaries for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, as indicated in our report dated August 6, 2010; because we did not perform an audit, we expressed no opinion on that information.

We are aware that our report referred to above, which is included in your Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, is incorporated by reference in Registration Statement No. 333-149551, 333-148863, 333-148862 and 333-141793 on Form S-8.

We also are aware that the aforementioned report, pursuant to Rule 436(c) under the Securities Act of 1933, is not considered a part of the Registration Statement prepared or certified by an accountant or a report prepared or certified by an accountant within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of that Act.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche

Hamilton, Bermuda August 6, 2010

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Dominic F. Silvester, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Enstar Group Limited;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the
 statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this
 report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: August 6, 2010
/s/ Dominic F. Silvester
Dominic F. Silvester
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Richard J. Harris, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Enstar Group Limited;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the
 statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this
 report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: August 6, 2010
/s/ Richard J. Harris
Richard J. Harris
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Enstar Group Limited (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Dominic F. Silvester, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 6, 2010
/s/ Dominic F. Silvester
Dominic F. Silvester
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Enstar Group Limited (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Richard J. Harris, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of my knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 6, 2010
/s/ Richard J. Harris
Richard J. Harris
Chief Financial Officer